

Sent: 20 March 2021 14:57

To: Clare Howe <c.howe@welhat.gov.uk>

Cc: Harry Bower <harry.bower@welhat.gov.uk>; Frank Marsh <frank.marsh@welhat.gov.uk>; Jean-Paul Skoczylas <jean-paul.skoczylas@welhat.gov.uk>; Stephen Boulton <stephen.boulton@welhat.gov.uk>

Subject: OBJECTIONS TO the Broadwater Road Proposals

**** WARNING: This email originated outside the WHBC Network. Please be extra vigilant when opening attachments or clicking links ****

I do not understand why anyone associated with the council would want to deliberately spoil Welwyn Garden City . That is what these proposals do, they undermine the ethos of the Garden City movement. If accepted the town will need a new name as it will simply not be Welwyn GARDEN CITY with all that the whole name implies.

Objection to Proposal 6/2020/3420/MAJ – The BioPark

Here 289 units are proposed to house 852 people in a very restricted site, with building height to 9 storeys. This contradicts WHBC's own vision for Broadwater Road west which was to integrate the spirit of the Garden City with the very best of 21st century design. There is nothing of the Garden City spirit here.

The development is clearly seen from the west side Conservation Area, as well as from Hatfield House. It will compromise the Broadwater Road street scene, overpowering the art deco and Mirage developments to the south. It is

inappropriate for a Garden City due to its large scale, high density of homes and minimal greenery. It has no kinship with our unique town.

This development offers only 216 parking spaces for 289 homes. There is no example where a development without adequate car parking has worked.

Other sites within the borough, such as villages with high public transport accessibility, appear to have been ignored despite their suitability for development.



