

From: [Clare Howe](#)
To: [Planning Comments \(Shared Mailbox\)](#)
Date: 07 January 2021 08:39:39

Good morning,

Could the below comment please uploaded as an objection on the application 6/2020/3420/MAJ

Many thanks,

Clare

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 06 January 2021 21:24
To: Clare Howe <c.howe@welhat.gov.uk>
Cc: shapps@parliament.uk
Subject: Planning Application: 6/2020/3420/MAJ Biopark development OBJECTION

** WARNING: This email originated outside the WHBC Network. Please be extra vigilant when opening attachments or clicking links ** .

Dear Ms Howe,

As owner of a flat in Salvisberg Court, Otto Road, I wish to object to the above planning application for the following reasons:

The number of parking spaces provided is ridiculously low, at less than one space per dwelling. The frequent comment of developers that the modern generation do not need cars is nonsense; most of these dwellings will house car owners (often more than one per dwelling). The comment is blatantly an excuse for squeezing more dwellings into the limited space.

The proportion of affordable housing is too low.

The height of the development, once converted to residential use, will be invasive of the privacy of the gardens and houses it overlooks.

Residents of the Mirage development flats and houses were not informed in advance, as we should have been, of the proposed planning application. A letter was apparently sent to all neighbouring homeowners on 24 December; no-one has received one.

The associated increase of the local population can not be sustained by the current local infrastructure of schools, GP surgeries and hospitals.

The Garden City ethos is theoretically upheld by Welwyn Hatfield Council. You claim that only in exceptional circumstances should a building exceed five storeys. These are not exceptional circumstances. The proposed housing density is excessive.

[REDACTED]