

Road and at 4 Valley Road. In summary, with reference to Policy R22 referred to above, we do not think that the proposals would "preserve or enhance the character or appearance of the Conservation Area". Given that the proposed dormer window is highly visible, far larger than the applicants' examples even with the "bulk" removed, the fenestration (in addition to affecting the privacy of the whole of the garden of number 37 negating the original positioning of the houses) is simply not in keeping with other windows in the area, and consequently does not meet the spirit of the Estate Management Policy 2008 as it does not preserve (far less enhance) the architectural integrity of the area.

In preparing the applications, it seems that great care has been taken to preserve the internal design remaining true to the original layout in increasing accommodation space, but at the expense of the external design and position of two houses at a 90 degree angle in a Conservation Area.

For the reasons set out in this letter and our letter of 3 September, we do not think that the applications should be passed. We reiterate that the issue of the Conservation Area and privacy cannot be appreciated from the public highway as the applicant's report and photograph suggest and should a site visit be required we are more than willing to accommodate access to our garden at your convenience.



Mr and Mrs Walton