

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Planning Comments \(Shared Mailbox\)](#)  
**Subject:** Rejection, Campus East housing 6/2022/2801/MAJ C  
**Date:** 24 January 2023 16:22:39

---

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

**\*\* WARNING: This email originated outside the WHBC Network. Please be extra vigilant when opening attachments or clicking links \*\***

Dear sirs

I object to the plans detailed for application number 6/2022/2801/MAJ C because :

1) the 5 storey height limit for prestigious centre town areas has been exceeded at 6 f Storeys.

2) The buildings will overlook and impinge on views and privacy in the existing neighboring streets being 4/5 floors high near existing housing of 2 floors.

3) parking. The parking rate of 0.58 per dwelling, even for a town centre property is too small! There is a need for car ownership in this town and parking is already a huge issue. It doesn't allow for people having work vehicles either, BT vans etc, that are parked at home and need big spaces compared with your typical cars.

3) why is the parking allocation lower per residence for the affordable homes? This is again unrealistic and discrimination against poorer / disabled people compared with your own census car ownership results 1.2 per person.

4) the design of the blocks doesn't reflect the style (brick, windows) of the surrounding well designed flats and council offices.

5) dwellings, too many 1 and 2 bed and not enough desperately, desperately needed family homes of 3-4 beds. There are already abundant flats of 1 and 2 beds available in wgc, but really not enough 4 bed. The proposed plans also do not meet your own policies on dwelling size shares in this development. Check your own policies before releasing proposed plans!!

6) density of 150 homes per hectare exceeds any town centre development so far, and with no provision for additional amenities such as school places and doctors which are already underfunded. My doctors garden city practice is not scheduled to expand at least until 2025 which worries me alot given the additional homes planned along Broadwater and mundells already.

7) social housing. Despite being a council owned site, there is 0% allocation to social housing whilst our list exceeds 3000. This is clearly a mistake?

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]

33 the swallows

AL7 1BX

[REDACTED]