

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Planning](#); [Ray Lee](#); [Chris Carter](#)  
**Subject:** Missing documents on application 6/2022/2533/VAR  
**Date:** 27 April 2023 21:27:34

---

\*\* WARNING: This email originated outside the WHBC Network. Please be extra vigilant when opening attachments or clicking links \*\*

Good evening

I refer to the lighting variation application that has now been amended 3 times. The letter from Historic England refers to an earlier letter which does not appear in the list of documents. Please upload it to the application and also send me a copy. The response from Historic England is incomplete without it.

I note that Historic England are confused about the plans with regards to the lighting. I, too, have difficulty in understanding all the different plans and position of the lights. It looks as though the lights have been put in place and, after objections about their positioning, plans have been drawn up to correspond with their actual position. This is not how planning works, unless you are the Council, of course!

I object to the additional lights because they are too bright and inadequately shielded. The shields are a pathetic attempt to shut residents up. They don't work. For them to work, they need to be immediately behind the light head not a couple of feet down the pole and a couple of feet back from the light head.

Finally, and I have said this numerous times, but never received a response from anyone except Geoff Sampson, the car park should not have been opened before all the necessary planning consents were in place.

Regards  
[REDACTED]