This is not the first time the developers have submitted this proposal. It has been rejected each time and as the same reasons for refusal still apply, it should be rejected again. It is clear that the developers intend to try and wear down both the residents and the Council by repeatedly applying for the same discredited plan again & again & again & again again again again therefore the residents a lot of money, by the correct designation of this site.

The development cannot be approved, as it would be contrary to the Section 106 Agreement, agreed by the Council in 1999. This plan should be unlawful and is definitely morally wrong. Why, after twenty years has the promised Country Park not been established? If it had been, we would not have to keep fighting the same battles. Is there an agreement with the developers?

The Council is aware of all the valid objections to the development of this site which is now proposed again: - Loss of Green Belt, flooding, noise, air pollution, traffic congestion and groundwater that is polluted with bromate and bromide.

Recently, following a short period of rain, the Ellenbrook was close to overflowing along Ellenbrook Lane and parts of Ellenbrook Fields was flooded. This new development will cause more flooding.

The original planning permission in 1999 stated that as part of the development of the aerodrome site no further expansion towards St Albans should occur.

This development would have a devastating effect on the green belt. If the green belt was important when it was introduced in the 1950s (although established in the 1930s and even proposed as far back as 1580 by a previous resident of Hatfield - Queen Elizabeth I), then the green belt is now essential to us, the residents. The wild life is very important. We need to preserve it to survive. Surely due to the events of the last year, councils have learnt that people not only need houses to live in but also space to survive. During the several lockdowns and guidance rules the "Ellenbrook Country Park" has been a saviour for many residents.