

WELWYN HATFIELD BOROUGH COUNCIL EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR - PLANNING, PUBLIC PROTECTION AND GOVERNANCE

DELEGATED APPLICATION

Application No: 6/2017/0363/HOUSE

Location: 111 Eddington Crescent, Welwyn Garden City, AL7 4SX

Proposal: Erection of a single storey side and rear extension and partial

conversion of garage.

Officer: Mr D Elmore

Recommendation: Granted

6/2017/0363/HOUSE

0/2017/0303/HOUSE					
Context	T =				
Site and Application	The application site comprises a two storey semi-detached dwelling contained within an average sized linear plot.				
description	The site is located within an established residential development and bounded to the south by Metropolitan Green Belt.				
	Planning permission is sought for the erection of a single storey side and rear extension and partial conversion of garage.				
Constraints (as defined within WHDP 2005)	Wards - Hollybush				
Relevant planning history	Application Number: N6/2004/1483/DE Decision: Approval Subject to s106 Decision Date: 06 May 2005 Proposal: RESERVED MATTERS APPLICATION FOLLOWING OUTLINE PERMISSION C6/0482/1988/OP, FOR THE ERECTION OF 309 HOUSES AND FLATS, ACCESS ROADS, GARAGES AND PARKING COURTYARDS				
	Application Number: C6/1988/0482/OP Decision: Approval Subject to s106 Decision Date: 29 September 2003				
	Proposal: Site for residential development on site of squash club and former secondary school				
Consultations					
Neighbour representations	Support: 0	Object: 0	Other: 0		
Publicity	Ward Councillors and relevant neighbours notified in writing				
Summary of neighbour responses	No responses				
Consultees and	Councillor Nick Pace – No response				
responses	2. Councillor Lynn Chesterman – No response				

3. Councillor Margaret Birleson – No response					
Relevant Policies					
NPPF □ D1 □ GBSP1 □ GBSP2 □ M14 Others: SDG, Supplementary Planning Guidance – Parking Standards, Interim Policy for Car Parking Standards and Garage Sizes					
Main Issues					
Is the development within a conservation area?					
☐ Yes ⊠ No					
Would the significance of the designated heritage asset be conserved or enhanced?					
☐ Yes ☐ No Comment: N/A					
Would the development reflect the character of the area?					
Would the development reflect the character of the dwelling?					
Yes No Comment: The proposed extension would feature a mono-pitch roof and measure 4 metres in depth, 2.8 metres to the eaves and 3.6 metres in height. It would extend 5.4 metres in width and be set in from the shared boundary with the adjoining neighbour by approximately 0.1 metres.					
It is considered that this extension, through its single storey construction, scale and design would reflect the design and character of the dwelling and be subordinate in scale. This is subject to the external materials matching that of the dwelling and, in the event of a grant of planning permission, this can be secured through suitable planning condition.					
This proposed extension would attach onto the existing detached garage which is also subject to habitable conversion under this application. This attachment would tie in neatly with the roof form of the garage and is thus considered acceptable in this regard.					
The 4 metres depth of the proposed extension would result in a notable reduction in the footprint of the rear garden. It is however considered that the size of the rear garden would not be severely diminished nor would the extension reduce the space around the dwelling to such an extent that the dwelling would look cramped on its site.					
Would the development maintain the amenity of adjoining occupiers? (e.g. privacy, outlook, light etc.)					
☐ Yes ☐ No					
Would the development provide / retain sufficient parking?					
Comment : The proposed would result in the loss of an existing garage to habitable space. It is however noted that this garage is too small to be an effective form of storage for a modern vehicle. This is in line with current Government Guidance regarding garage sizes. Furthermore, the front and side access of the site can easily serve the parking of 2 cars. This is also in line with the Councils Parking Guidance.					
Any other issues None					
Conclusion					
Subject to the suggested planning condition, the proposed development complies with relevant local and national planning policy.					

Conditions:

1. The brickwork, roof tile, bond, mortar, detailing, guttering, soffits and other external decorations of the approved extension/alterations must match the existing dwelling/building in relation to colour and texture.

REASON: To ensure a satisfactory standard of development in the interests of visual amenity in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework and Policies D1 and D2 of the Welwyn Hatfield District Plan 2005.

DRAWING NUMBERS

2. The development/works shall not be started and completed other than in accordance with the approved plans and details:

Plan Number	Revision Number	Details	Received Date
4073-OS2		Block Plan	27 February 2017
4073-E01		Existing Floor Plans & Elevations	27 February 2017
4073-P01	В	Proposed Floor Plans & Elevations	27 February 2017
4073-OS1		Site Location Plan	27 February 2017

REASON: To ensure that the development is carried out in accordance with the approved plans and details.

POSITIVE AND PROACTIVE STATEMENT

The decision has been made taking into account, where practicable and appropriate the requirements of paragraphs 186-187 of the National Planning Policy Framework and material planning considerations do not justify a decision contrary to the development plan (see Officer's report which can be viewed on the Council's website or inspected at these offices).

Informatives:

1. This permission does not convey any consent which may be required under any legislation other than the Town and Country Planning Acts. Any permission required under the Building Regulations or under any other Act, must be obtained from the relevant authority or body e.g. Fire Officer, Health and Safety Executive, Environment Agency (Water interest etc. Neither does this permission negate or override any private covenants which may affect the land.

Determined By:

Mrs S Smith 26 April 2017