

# WELWYN HATFIELD BOROUGH COUNCIL EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR - PLANNING, PUBLIC PROTECTION AND GOVERNANCE

### **DELEGATED APPLICATION**

**Application No:** 6/2016/1600/LB

**Location:** Oshwal Centre, Coopers Lane Road, Northaw, Potters Bar, EN6

4DG

**Proposal:** External refurbishment comprising replacing some clay chimney

pots where defective and repair with replacement were necessary the

slate tile roof

Officer: Mr S Dicocco

**Recommendation**: Granted

The site contains the large, grade II listed country house formally known as The Hook House. Now known as Oshwal House, Hook House was a Regency Period building, begun in 1839 of white painted stucco and generally with low-pitched slate roofs. The North elevation was partly extended in the late C20th with a single storey flat roofed part and a two storey pitched roof element. It is clear, from the architectural quality of the windows and other features, that the house was designed to be seen from all sides, in an open parkland setting.						
The proposal has been reduced in its extent for expediency. The replacement windows and rendering originally proposed have been removed from consideration and will be subject to a separate application once the relevant reports and evidence have been composed. As such, this application relates only to refurbishment of the roof and chimney pots only. The proposal therefore seeks Listed Building Consent to repair the roof and chimneys, involving the replacement of roof tiles and chimney pots where required (estimated at 15-20%) on a like for like basis.						
LBC - LISTED BUILDING House 1839 Asymmetrical Tuscan Style villa						
GB - Greenbelt						
LCA - Landscape Character Area (Northaw Common Parkland)						
PAR - PARISH (NORTHAW AND CUFFLEY)						
Ward6 - Northaw & Duffley						
None of particular relevance.						
Support: 0	Obiect: 0	Other: 0				
Site Notice Display Date: 12 April 2017 Site Notice Expiry Date: 3 May 2017 Press Advert Display Date: 3 May 2017 Press Advert Expiry Date: 17 May 2017						
				HFKNOH TNOROSKI L O L F N N T	Hook House. Now known Period building, begun in Ditched slate roofs. The Nowith a single storey flat roblear, from the architectur house was designed to be The proposal has been rewindows and rendering of consideration and will be reports and evidence have only to refurbishment of the seeks Listed Building Correplacement of roof tiles at 20%) on a like for like base BC - LISTED BUILDING GB - Greenbelt  LCA - Landscape Charact PAR - PARISH (NORTHAN Ward6 - Northaw & Display Date: Site Notice Display Date: Site Notice Expiry Date: Site Notice	Hook House. Now known as Oshwal House, Hook Hoperiod building, begun in 1839 of white painted stuccontched slate roofs. The North elevation was partly exwith a single storey flat roofed part and a two storey potear, from the architectural quality of the windows and nouse was designed to be seen from all sides, in an office proposal has been reduced in its extent for experience windows and rendering originally proposed have been consideration and will be subject to a separate applicate ports and evidence have been composed. As such only to refurbishment of the roof and chimney pots or seeks Listed Building Consent to repair the roof and deplacement of roof tiles and chimney pots where requested to a like for like basis.  BC - LISTED BUILDING House 1839 Asymmetrical GB - Greenbelt  CA - Landscape Character Area (Northaw Common PAR - PARISH (NORTHAW AND CUFFLEY)  Ward6 - Northaw & Dogether Common Particular relevance.  Support: 0  Object: 0  Site Notice Display Date: 12 April 2017  Site Notice Expiry Date: 3 May 2017  Press Advert Display Date: 3 May 2017

Summary of neighbour responses	None	
Consultees and responses	1.	The Council for British Archaeology – No response
	2.	Historic Environment Advisor – No response
	3.	Northaw and Cuffley Parish Council – No response
	4.	Councillor Bernard Sarson – No response
	5.	Councillor George Michaelides – No response
	6. subjec	Conservation Officer – No objection to the roof or chimney pot repairs at to like-for-like replacement
	7.	Councillor Irene Dean - No response
Relevant Policies		
Others		

### Others Main Issues

Impact on the character and setting of the listed building and adjoining listed buildings Section 16 of the Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas Act states that the local planning authority shall have "special regard to the desirability of preserving the building or its setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which it possesses". The specific historic environment policies within the NPPF are contained within paragraphs 126-141. Paragraph 131 of the National Planning Policy Framework (March, 2012) states, 'In determining planning applications, local planning authorities should take account of:

- The desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets and putting them to viable uses consistent with their conservation;
- The positive contribution that conservation of heritage assets can make to sustainable communities including their economic vitality; and
- The desirability of new development making a positive contribution to local character and distinctiveness'

Paragraph 132 of the Framework outlines that, when considering the impact of a proposed development on the significance of a designated heritage asset, 'great weight' should be given to the asset's conservation and the more important the asset the greater the weight it should be given. Paragraph 133 states that where proposed development will lead to substantial harm or total loss of significance of a designated heritage asset, Local Planning Authorities should refuse consent unless it can be demonstrated that the harm is necessary to achieve substantial public benefits that outweigh the harm. Where the harm is considered less than substantial Paragraph 134 states that this should be weighed against the public benefits of the proposal. The NPPF therefore does allow for a degree of harm to a heritage asset in particular circumstances.

It is considered that the like for like replacement of roof tiles and chimney pots would not harm the significance of the heritage asset. The proposed works would assist in conserving the heritage asset through ensuring no further damage is caused by faulty or defective elements of the roof or chimney pots. A condition will be required for the submission of materials including roof tiles and chimney pots to ensure a good match is found and no harm results.

## Any other considerations

#### Conclusion

The proposed repair works to include replacement where necessary would, subject to a condition

requiring the submission of materials to ensure a good match is found, serve to preserve and conserve the significance of the heritage asset. Accordingly, the proposal is considered to accord with the provisions of the National Planning Policy Framework 2012.

#### **Conditions:**

 No development shall take place until samples of roof tiles and details of the chimney pots to be used in the refurbishment works hereby granted have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall be implemented using the approved materials and subsequently, the approved materials shall not be changed.

REASON: To ensure a satisfactory standard of development in the interests of the significance of the heritage asset in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework.

#### DRAWING NUMBERS

2. The development/works shall not be started and completed other than in accordance with the approved plans and details:

Plan Number	Revision Number	Details	Received Date
6842-27-P0		Site Plan	4 August 2016
6842-49-P0		Existing Ground Floor and Roof Plans - Elevations	4 August 2016
6842-47-P0		Existing Scaffolding Plans	4 August 2016

REASON: To ensure that the development is carried out in accordance with the approved plans and details.

#### 1. POSITIVE AND PROACTIVE STATEMENT

The decision has been made taking into account, where practicable and appropriate the requirements of paragraphs 186-187 of the National Planning Policy Framework and material planning considerations do not justify a decision contrary to the development plan (see Officer's report which can be viewed on the Council's website or inspected at these offices).

#### **Determined By:**

Mr A Mangham 5 July 2017

