

I wish to object to the proposed extension on the ground of the impact to me on loss of sunlight. Notwithstanding the design ameliorating the visual appearance, the fact remains that it will be a significant one and two story development extending the furthest to the rear as the surrounding seven houses, and leaving the final ground area roughly double that of the original house.

The impact on me comes through loss of light.

The ground story extension is proposed inches from my eastern boundary along the full length of my conservatory at the rear of my house, and as such will significantly delay or cut out entirely the low morning sun in winter. This conservatory is a room I make a huge use of - currently it is my home office, but in winter it is also full of germinating seedlings and cacti, both so desperate for light at these northern latitudes. More practically, the sun is a highly effective zero carbon heater on cold bright winter mornings.

The second story extension, which extends nearly as far will delay the sun at all times of year with similar impact.

I would ask that the design be reconsidered, and would hope that the rearward extent of the proposed development be reduced. Significantly shortening the proposed first and second stories would address my concerns. Stepping in the proposed ground floor so its western edge is under the western edge of the second story extension would also help.

Finally I would like to acknowledge the good will of the applicants, who have been communicating with me at all times and have listened to my concerns thoughtfully and with understanding.