

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Planning Comments \(Shared Mailbox\)](#)  
**Subject:** Wells Farm Planning Application  
**Date:** 21 July 2023 11:36:55

---

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

**\*\* WARNING: This email originated outside the WHBC Network. Please be extra vigilant when opening attachments or clicking links \*\***

Dear Sirs

I refer to the above matter; it is clear from information received via the Parish Council that a 'balanced' case will not be presented to the DMC members. We are advised as follows:-

*It will come as no surprise that the Council's position hasn't changed – they have gone out of their way to present a very positive and compelling argument for approval which has been done by largely glossing over or just ignoring the arguments for refusal.*

*You only need to go to page 9 of the officers report to see how one sided this is. Of the handful of reasons that support the development (mostly from developers) these were elaborated with, for the most part, a paragraph on each one. The objections (38 different topics), were, with a few exceptions, reduced to one line. Had the objections been afforded the same level of detail as the support then these would have run in to dozens of pages.*

*How DMC members are expected to take a balanced view when they don't get a balanced argument is inexplicable. But maybe that's the whole point.*

The residents of Northaw and Cuffley find the above nothing short of scandalous and will, using the substantial resources at our disposal, without doubt, pursue a full investigation of the activities of Welwyn Hatfield and any individuals associated with this application if it is passed.

Regards.

[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone