# Shell Welwyn Garden City

Tree Survey Report and Tree Constraints Plan & Arboricultural Impact Assessment

Plan in accordance with BS5837:2012



# SQUIRES YOUNG

Date July 2021 Reference SY21-292-ARB-21-01



Suite 1, Unit 2 Crown Yard Bedgebury Estate Goudhurst Kent TN17 2QZ

Client:	Shell UK
Location:	Shell Welwyn Garden, Stanborough Road, Welwyn Garden City, AL8 6XA
Report Title:	Tree Survey Report and Tree Constraints Plan & Arboricultural Impact Assessment
File Reference:	SY21-292-ARB-21-01
Date of Site Visit	18.05.2021
Site Visit Carried Out By:	Francis Squires and Cicely Rice-Wilson
Report Author:	Francis Squires

### Contents

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Brief
- 3.0 Scope of Report
- 4.0 Survey Method
- 5.0 Site Description
- 6.0 Survey Details
- 7.0 Key to Tree Survey Data
- 8.0 Chalara Notes
- 9.0 Tree Survey Tables
- 10.0 Cascade Chart for Tree Quality Assessment
- 11.0 Tree Survey Plan: SY21-292-TS-TCP-21-01
- 12.0 Arboricultural Impact Assessment
- 13.0 Arboricultural Impact Assessment Drawings: SY21-292-AIA-TCP-21-04

SY21-292-AIA-RPA-21-05

SY21-292-AIA-TRR-21-06

SY21-292-AIA-TPP-21-07

14.0 Landscape Planting Plan: SY21-292-LPP-21-08

### 1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 It is proposed to seek planning permission for the redevelopment of an existing Service Station at Shell Welwyn Garden, Stanborough Road, Welwyn Garden City, AL8 6XA.
- 1.2 As such a tree survey is necessary to determine the extent and value of trees on the site and adjacent to the site which may be affected by any construction works. This tree survey report has been prepared in line with local plan policies and is to be considered as a material part of any application to carry out construction works.

### 2.0 Brief

- 2.1 We have been instructed by jms Planning & Development on behalf of Shell UK to survey the trees on the site with the guidance of BS5837: 2012 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction Recommendations'.
- 2.2 Based upon the data collected we are to provide a Tree Survey Plan (TSP) to show the trees present and a Tree Constraints Plan (TCP) to show the constraints posed by the trees at the design stage. We have provided details of the Root Protection Area (RPA) indicated on the Tree Constraints Plan (TCP) and also shown in the Tree Survey Schedules.
- 2.3 We have been asked to produce an Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) showing the effect of the proposed works on the existing site trees.

**NB** Until Full Planning permission is granted a Forestry Commission Licence may be required to fell trees on the site - **A felling licence** is required by law if you fell more than 5m<sup>3</sup> in one calendar quarter. If you are selling the wood - for logs, for example - then you can only fell 2m<sup>3</sup> in a calendar quarter. This applies to trees in hedges as well as woodlands.

2.4 We have been asked to produce a Landscaping Planting Plan to show the proposed Soft Landscaping works.

### 3.0 Scope of Report

- 3.1 This report is designed to survey the trees currently present on site and adjacent to the site.
- 3.2 The trees have been surveyed at a preliminary level only. The survey for trees in relation to the planning process must not be substituted for a tree risk assessment report. Detailed inspections involving decay inspection equipment, climbing or aerial inspections were not carried out and are beyond the scope of this report. In cases where we consider further investigation to be necessary this will be highlighted in the report.



- 3.3 Where tree stems and canopy structure are obscured by the presence of Ivy or other climbers it will not be possible to assess the areas of the tree that are not visible.
- 3.4 This survey covers stages 1-3 of the 5 stage Arboricultural process used in relation to planning. Stage 1 is the Tree Survey Plan (TSP). Stage 2 is the production of the Tree Constraints Plan (TCP). Stage 3 is the preparation of an Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) and this in to be found later in this document Stage 4 is the preparation of an Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS). Stage 5 is the implementation, supervision and ongoing monitoring of the Works.

### 4.0 Survey Method

- 4.1 All observations were conducted from ground level with the aid of binoculars. No detailed inspection of the subject trees was undertaken.
- 4.2 All observations were conducted from within the site boundaries or public places. No access was made to private properties and therefore any commentary on trees within neighbouring sites was made in the context of what could be observed at distance.
- 4.3 The following data was assessed for the trees:
  - Dimensions (height, crown spread and stem diameter)
  - Height above ground level of level of the lowest point of the crown base (excluding very minor parts of the crown)
  - The overall structural condition
  - The deadwood in the tree
  - The likely remaining retention span of the trees

The quality and value grade for each tree or group according to the cascade chart contained within BS 5837.

- 4.4 Tree heights were calculated by use of a Tri-Pulse laser measuring device where possible. A clinometer was also used.
- 4.5 Stem diameters were measured in accordance with the recommendations of BS5837.
- 4.6 Crown spreads were calculated using by use of a Tri-Pulse laser measuring device where possible or by pacing. Where access was difficult or unavailable distances were estimated.



- 4.7 The tree survey was carried out by Francis Squires and Cicely Rice-Wilson on 18th May 2021. The weather was partly cloudy and light rain.
- 4.8 Each individual tree has been allocated a reference number. 'T' refers to trees on site or just off site. 'G' refers to grouped trees.

### 5.0 Site Description

5.1 The site is located at Shell Welwyn Garden, Stanborough Road, Welwyn Garden City, AL8 6XA, Ordnance Survey (OS) National Grid Reference SJ 91530 75387



Figure 1: Extent of survey indicated by red line

- 5.2 Shell Welwyn Garden City is located on the northern side of the Stanborough Road (A6129). The north, east and west of the site is bound by residential properties.
- 5.3 The application site is currently in use as a Service Station with a hardstanding forecourt and a boundary comprising of established shrubs and trees.
- 5.4 The site lies within the Conservation Area designated in the Welwyn Hatfield District Plan 2005.



- 5.5 The site is not in a Green Belt and does not contains wooded areas.
- 5.6 The Welwyn Hatfield Borough Council Interactive map shows there are no individual trees or groups that are protected by TPOs.
- 5.7 The site geology comprises superficial deposits of the Lowestoft formation the Bedrock geology is the Lewes Nodular Chalk Formation and Seaford Chalk Formation Chalk. The soil texture is Loam to Clayey Loam, Chalky. The soil depth is deep.
   Past development works means some imported topsoil is likely to be present.
- 5.8 All of the trees surveyed were within the site boundary excluding T1 Ash, T6 Ash, T13 Ash, T14 Sycamore and T15 Ash. The western part of the site is devoid of planting. To the northern boundary lies T2 a multistem Ash, Cherries T3 and T4, a small Rowan T5, T6 which is an off-site Ash and T7 a small Ash overgrown by Ivy, all of these trees are C2 rated. To the eastern boundary lies T8, T9, T10 and T11 which are all C rated Ash together with T12 a C rated Cherry, most of these trees are in poor condition. Also to the eastern boundary T13 and T15 Ash and T14 Sycamore are in good condition but C rated trees which lie off-site. To the road frontage/southern boundary lie two Whitebeam T16 and T17 which are in good condition and B rated.

Shrubs seen on-site include Rosa canina, Lonicera pileata, Berberis thunbergi Atropurpurea, Hornbeam, Elder, Ligustrum ovalifolium, Cotoneaster Skogholm together with variegated Ivy partially in mature form and Bramble.



5.9 Photos of site, taken during a site visit on 18th May 2021.



Figure 2: Site plan identifying photo locations



1. Northwest corner of site, view of T2 to T4





**2.** Car wash entrance, view of T4 to T6



3. North corner of site, view of T6 to T9





4. Northeast side of site, view of T12 to T15



5. Garage Exit, view of T16 and T17



### 6.0 Survey Details

- 6.1 A total of 17 individual trees and no groups were surveyed and classified according to the BS 5837 valuation criteria. Of the individual trees and group typical trees surveyed:
  - None were classified as BS5837 Category A, representing trees of high quality and value.
  - Two were classified as Category B, which represents trees which should be retained wherever possible, these trees mainly provide screening and make up part of the wider street scene.
  - Fifteen trees were identified as Category C, which represents trees of low quality, due to past management or structural defects.
  - No trees were graded U.

# Category A Category B Category C Category U

### Tree Categories Identified on Site

Category	Number of Trees	Approx. Percentage
<ul> <li>A - Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years</li> </ul>	0	0%
<b>B</b> - Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years	2	12%
<b>C</b> - Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm	15	88%
<ul> <li>U - Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years</li> </ul>	0	0%



### 6.2 Tree Species Diversity

Five tree species were recorded during the survey.

A summary of the tree species surveyed can be within the Tree Schedule and is also provided in the Table below (dead trees or U rated trees not included):



### **Tree Species Identified on Site**

Tree Species	Number	Approx. Percentage
Ash (Fraxinus excelsior)	10	59%
Cherry (Prunus spp.)	3	17%
Rowan (Sorbus aucuparia)	1	6%
Sycamore (Acer pseudoplananus)	1	6%
Whitebeam (Sorbus aria 'Lutescens')	2	12%



### 6.3 Age Diversity (excludes groups and U rated trees)



### Age Class of Trees Identified on Site

Age Class	Number of Trees	Approx. Percentage
Young	3	18%
Semi-Mature	6	35%
Early-Mature	8	47%
Mature	0	0%
Over-Mature	0	0%
Veteran	0	0%



### 7.0 Key to Tree Survey Data

- 7.1 The following information is in accordance with BS 5837: 2012.
- 7.2 Tree number: As identified on the tree plans.
- 7.3 **Species:** Given as common names and botanical names on the survey schedule.
- 7.4 Stem diameter: Measured in mm. Measured at 1.5m above ground level and used to calculate the Root Protection Area (RPA) Prefixed by \* indicates an estimate due to obstructions to access or an offsite tree. Prefixed by § indicates an average is given over several stems for example in the case of a hedge.
- 7.5 Crown spread: Estimated crown extents to the cardinal points as shown on the tree plans. Measured in metres with laser or estimated (\*) when line of sight is poor. WC - indicates that the crown forms part of the woodland canopy.
- 7.6 Height of crown clearance: The height of first significant branch. Cardinal point may be indicated.

7.7	Age Class	Definition
	Young (Y)	Recently planted or establishing tree that could be transplanted with specialist equipment, i.e. less than 150 mm Diameter at 1.5m.
	Semi-mature (S/M)	An established tree, but with some growth to make before reaching its potential maximum size. A tree within its first third of lifespan.
	Early-mature (E/M)	A tree that is reaching its ultimate potential height, whose growth rate is slowing down but if healthy, will still increase in stem diameter and crown spread. A tree in its second third of life span.
	Mature (M)	A mature specimen with limited potential for any significant increase in size, even if healthy. A tree within its final third of expected lifespan.
	Veteran (V)	Specimens exhibiting features of biological, cultural or aesthetic value that are characteristic of, but not exclusive to, individuals surviving beyond the typical age range for the species concerned.
	Dead (D)	The tree is dead.

05 07 2021



7.8 **Landscape Contribution.** Although not always included in BS 5837: 2012 Tree Survey Schedules we find it useful to make an assessment of the Landscape Contribution of the tree as follows:

High	prominent landscape feature
Medium	visible in landscape
Low	secluded/among other trees

Trees of poor quality/appearance will normally be assessed with a lower Landscape Contribution.

- 7.9 **Comments:** These concern only matters within the interests of good arboricultural practice and do not take any account of the new proposed development. A full hazard assessment is beyond the scope of a report dealing with planning aspects.
- 7.10 **Estimated remaining contribution:** A guide to the likely period for which the tree is likely to confer benefits to the wider environment. The retention span is categorised into years.
- 7.11 **Category grading:** To be applied by an arboriculturalist; to identify the quality and value of the tree stock so that informed decisions can be made with regards to which trees should be removed or retained. Four categories are used:

U	Trees unsuitable for retention
Α	Trees of high quality
В	Trees of moderate quality
-	

- **C** Trees of low quality
- 7.12 **Category sub grading:** May be applied where retention criteria is:
  - 1 Mainly Arboricultural qualities
  - Mainly Landscape qualities
     Mainly Cultural values includ
    - Mainly Cultural values including ecological environmental



IRES YOL

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE

### 8.0 Chalara Notes

8.1 Ash dieback is caused by the fungus Hymenoscypus fraxinueus. Part of the fungus life cycle was formerly known as Chalara fraxinea, hence the alternative names including chalara ash dieback or chalara.

In line with the latest recommendations from the Arboricultural Association -Ash Dieback Guidance for Tree Owners, Managers, Contractors and Consultants - Principal Author Michael Sankus which states ' Current knowledge does not provide clarity on the impact of ash dieback on the life expectancy of individual ash trees, although up to 5% of ash trees will show genetic tolerance to the disease and many trees growing in open sites may not succumb to the disease and are likely to persist indefinitely. On these grounds it would be unreliable and premature to downgrade a healthy ash tree or one showing tolerance when categorising trees in accordance with BS5837 simply because of a presumption that life expectancy will be shortened.' We have not downgraded the ash trees on site, we have however, restricted estimated remaining contribution to 10+ years as we believe this may aid forward planning.



### 9.0 Tree Survey Tables

### Tree Survey Schedule

Client: Shell UK Site: Shell Welwyr				wyn	Garc	len (	City			Date:18	.05.21	Weather: Partly Cloudy, Light Rain						Surveyor: FS/CR-W		
Tree Ref. No	English name	Height (m)	Single stem diameter (mm)	Multi- stemmed (Y/N)	1	Stem B			Branch spread NESW	Crown clearance	Age class	Condition	Deadwood	Landscape contribution	RPR (m)	RPA (m2)	Estimated remaining contribution	BS category		
Т1	Ash	7.5	-	Y	120	120					2,2,2,1	3-E	SM	Fair	MD	Medium	2.1	14	10	C2
Comments/Preliminary management recommendations: Divergent at 1m, possible dieback																				
Т2	Ash	9	-	Y #	110	110	110	110			3,3,3,3	2-S	SM	Fair	Twigs	Medium	2.7	23	10	C2
Comments/Preliminary management recommendations: Divergent at 0.5m and again at 1m																				
Т3	Cherry	#8	#110	Ν							#3,3,3,3	1.5-W	SM	Fair	Twigs	Low	1.3	6	20	C2
Comme	ents/Preliminary mar	nagemen	t recomm	endations:	Some	ewhat	etiolat	ed												
Т4	Cherry	#10	-	Y #	180	180	110				#3,3,3,3	3-S	SM	Good	MD	Low	3.3	34	20+	C2
Comme	ents/Preliminary mar	nagemen	t recomm	endations:	Diver	gent a	t 1m													
Т5	Rowan	6	#150	Ν							2,2,2,2	-	SM	Fair	Twigs	Medium	1.8	10	20	C2
Comme	ents/Preliminary mar	nagemen	t recomm	endations:	Grow	ing ou	t of de	ense s	shrub	sο ι	unable to measure	e stem diar	neter							
Т6	Ash	13.5	#225	Ν							4,4,4,4	-	EM	Good	Twigs	Low	2.7	23	10	C2
Comme	ents/Preliminary mar	nagemen	t recomm	endations:	Ivy c	overed	to 10	)m												
Т7	Ash	4	100	Ν							1,2,2,2	-	Y	Poor	MJD	Low	1.2	5	<10	C2
Comme	ents/Preliminary mar	nagemen	t recomm	endations:	Seve	re lean	to so	uth, I	neavi	ly iv	y covered by vari	egated ivy								
Т8	Ash	14	#175	Ν							3,3,3,3	-	EM	Fair	Twigs	Low	2.1	14	10	C2
Comme	ents/Preliminary man	nagemen	t recomm	endations:																

	Notes
Age class	<ul> <li>Y- Newly planted/Young - not fully established and capable of being transplanted or easily replaced &lt; 150mm @ 1.5m</li> <li>SM- Semi-mature - in first third of usual life expectancy for species (LES)</li> <li>EM- Early-mature - in second third of LES</li> <li>M- Mature - Approximately half LES</li> <li>OM- Late mature - in last third of LES</li> <li>V- Veteran - over usual LES</li> <li>D- Dead</li> </ul>
Condition	Good/ Fair/ Poor/ Dead
Deadwood	Twigs (small material up to 10mm diameter)/Minor deadwood -MD-(dead wood 10mm-50mm diameter) /Major deadwood-MJD (dead wood 50 mm + in diameter
Landscape Contribution	High (prominent landscape feature)/ Medium (visible in landscape) / Low (secluded/among other trees)
BS Category	Refers to Tree/Group quality and value: A-High, B-Moderate, C-Low, U- Unsuitable for retention. Retention criteria 1- Arboricultural, 2-Landscape, 3-Cultural
<b>Root Protection Radius</b>	A minimum radius from the tree trunk that should be left undisturbed during the development process
Stem diameter	Measured at 1.5m above ground level and used to calculate the Root Protection Area (RPA)
Crown spread (N,E,S,W)	Measured in metres with laser or estimated (*) when line of sight is poor. WC – indicates that the crown forms part of the woodland canopy

### **Tree Survey Schedule**

Client:	t: Shell UK Site: Shell Welwyn Garden City				Date:18.05.21 Weather: Partly Cloudy, Light					udy, Light F	Rain Surveyor: FS/CR-W									
Tree Ref. No	English name	Height (m)	Single stem diameter (mm)	Multi- stemmed (Y/N)	1	Stem Bra		Branch spread NESW	Crown clearance	Age class	Condition	Deadwood	Landscape contribution	RPR (m)	RPA (m2)	Estimated remaining contribution	BS category			
Т9	Ash	10	100	Ν							WC	-	Y	Poor	MJD	Low	1.2	5	10	C2
Comments/Preliminary management recommendations: Severe lean to north dominated by T10																				
Т10	Ash	14	175	Ν							WC	-	EM	Good	Twigs	Medium	2.1	14	10	C2
Comments/Preliminary management recommendations: Trivergent at 3m																				
T11	Ash	10	110	Ν							WC	-	Y	Poor	MJD	Low	1.3	6	10	C2
Comme	nts/Preliminary m	anagemer	nt recomm	endations:	Very	ooor c	onditi	on												
T12	Cherry	9	175	Ν							WC	-	SM	Poor	MD	Low	2.1	14	20	C2
Comme	nts/Preliminary m	anagemer	nt recomm	endations:	Overs	hadov	ed by	γ T10	)											
T13	Ash	14	-	Y	180	140					5,5,5,5	-	EM	Good	Twigs	Low	2.7	23	10	C2
Comme	nts/Preliminary m	anagemer	nt recomm	endations:	Off sit	te. Div	erger	nt at g	ground											
T14	Sycamore	14	200	Ν							5,5,3,5	-	EM	Good	Twigs	Low	2.4	18	40	C2
Comme	nts/Preliminary m	anagemer	nt recomm	endations:	Off sit	te														
T15	Ash	13	160	Ν							3,4,4,4	-	EM	Good	Twigs	Low	1.9	12	10	C2
Comme	nts/Preliminary m	anagemer	nt recomm	endations:	Off sit	te. Sor	newh	at ov	vershado	owe	d by T14, Slight	lean South	, Diverge	nt at 3m, so	mewhat etio	lated				
T16	Whitebeam	8	-	Y	220	120					4,4,4,4	2-W	EM	Good	Twigs	High	3.0	28	20+	<b>B2</b>
Comme Some int	nts/Preliminary m ternal deadwood. Ca	anagemer	<b>It recomm</b> er scarring t	endations: to west side.	Lean	to eas	t, dive	erger	nt at 1.2	2m.	Stock growing fi	rom base. S	Several ol	d pruning cu	ts showing p	ossible cavity	formatio	n. Past m	nowing damag	e to base.

	Notes
Age class	<ul> <li>Y- Newly planted/Young – not fully established and capable of being transplanted or easily replaced &lt; 150mm @ 1.5m</li> <li>SM- Semi-mature – in first third of usual life expectancy for species (LES)</li> <li>EM- Early-mature – in second third of LES</li> <li>M- Mature – Approximately half LES</li> <li>OM- Late mature – in last third of LES</li> <li>V- Veteran – over usual LES</li> <li>D- Dead</li> </ul>
Condition	Good/ Fair/ Poor/ Dead
Deadwood	Twigs (small material up to 10mm diameter)/Minor deadwood -MD-(dead wood 10mm-50mm diameter) /Major deadwood-MJD (dead wood 50 mm + in diameter
Landscape Contribution	High (prominent landscape feature)/ Medium (visible in landscape) / Low (secluded/among other trees)
BS Category	Refers to Tree/Group quality and value: A-High, B-Moderate, C-Low, U- Unsuitable for retention. Retention criteria 1- Arboricultural, 2-Landscape, 3-Cultural
Root Protection Radius	A minimum radius from the tree trunk that should be left undisturbed during the development process
Stem diameter	Measured at 1.5m above ground level and used to calculate the Root Protection Area (RPA)
Crown spread (N,E,S,W)	Measured in metres with laser or estimated (*) when line of sight is poor. WC - indicates that the crown forms part of the woodland canopy

### Tree Survey Schedule

Client: Shell UK Site: Shell We				Shell Wel	wyn Garden City					Date:18.05	Date:18.05.21			ly Cloudy	Surveyor: FS/CR-W				
Tree Ref. No	English name	Height (m)	Single stem diameter (mm)	Multi- stemmed (Y/N)	1 2	3	Stem 3 4	5	6	Branch spread NESW	Crown clearance	Age class	Condition	Deadwood	Landscape contribution	RPR (m)	RPA (m2)	Estimated remaining contribution	BS category
T17	Whitebeam	8	-	Y	220 22	0 14	40 90			4,4,4.5,4	1.8-W	EM	Good	Twigs	High	4.6	66	20+	<b>B2</b>
<b>Comme</b> Diverge	<b>Comments/Preliminary management recommendations</b> : Lean to north then straightening. Prune back to avoid touching canopy. Numerous pruning cuts mostly healed but with possible cavity forming. Divergent at 1m. Remove plastic string from between trunks.																		
Comme	Comments/Preliminary management recommendations:																		
Comme	Comments/Preliminary management recommendations:																		
Comme	ents/Preliminary ma	nagemer	nt recomm	endations:			·												
Comme	ents/Preliminary ma	nagemer	nt recomm	endations:		1		1											
Comme	ents/Preliminary ma	nagemer	nt recomm	endations:	1 I						1	I	L		1 1				L
Comme	ents/Preliminary ma	nagemer	nt recomm	endations:	1 I		I			1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1
Comme	ents/Preliminary ma	nagemer	nt recomm	endations:	1		1	-1		1	1	1	1	1	11		1	1	1

Notes				
Age class	<ul> <li>Y- Newly planted/Young - not fully established and capable of being transplanted or easily replaced &lt; 150mm @ 1.5m</li> <li>SM- Semi-mature - in first third of usual life expectancy for species (LES)</li> <li>EM- Early-mature - in second third of LES</li> <li>M- Mature - Approximately half LES</li> <li>OM- Late mature - in last third of LES</li> <li>V- Veteran - over usual LES</li> <li>D- Dead</li> </ul>			
Condition	Good/ Fair/ Poor/ Dead			
Deadwood	Twigs (small material up to 10mm diameter)/Minor deadwood -MD-(dead wood 10mm-50mm diameter) /Major deadwood-MJD (dead wood 50 mm + in diameter			
Landscape Contribution	High (prominent landscape feature)/ Medium (visible in landscape) / Low (secluded/among other trees)			
BS Category	Refers to Tree/Group quality and value: A-High, B-Moderate, C-Low, U- Unsuitable for retention. Retention criteria 1- Arboricultural, 2-Landscape, 3-Cultural			
<b>Root Protection Radius</b>	A minimum radius from the tree trunk that should be left undisturbed during the development process			
Stem diameter	Measured at 1.5m above ground level and used to calculate the Root Protection Area (RPA)			
Crown spread (N,E,S,W)	Measured in metres with laser or estimated (*) when line of sight is poor. WC - indicates that the crown forms part of the woodland canopy			

### 10.0 Cascade Chart for Tree Quality Assessment

estimated remaining life

10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below

expectancy of at least

150 mm

Category and definition	Criteria (including subcategories where appropriate)					
Trees unsuitable for retention	(see Note)					
Ca <b>tegory U</b> Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically	<ul> <li>Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning)</li> </ul>					
be retained as living trees in	Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline					
the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years	<ul> <li>Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality</li> </ul>					
	NOTE Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which it might be desirable to preserve; see 4.5.7.					
	1 Mainly arboricultural qualities	2 Mainly landscape qualities	3 Mainly cultural values, including conservation			
Trees to be considered for ret	ention					
Category A	Trees that are particularly good	Trees, groups or woodlands of particular	Trees, groups or woodlands			
Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years	examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)	visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features	of significant conservation, hIstorical, commemorative o other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture)			
Category B	Trees that might be included in	Trees present in numbers, usually growing	Trees with material			
<b>Trees of moderate quality</b> with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years	category A, but are downgraded because of Impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remediable defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category A designation	as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality	conservation or other cultural value			
Category C	Unremarkable trees of very limited	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but	Trees with no material			
frees of low quality with an	merit or such impaired condition that	without this conferring on them	conservation or other			

significantly greater collective landscape

value; and/or trees offering low or only

temporary/transient landscape benefits

cultural value

they do not qualify in higher categories



Category U Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years

# Trees to be considered for retention

Category A Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years

Category B Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years

Category C Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 m

Shadow effect calculated as per BS 5837

Calculated Root Protection Area

Woodland Canopy (WC)

The original of this drawing was produced in colour – a monochrome copy should not be relied upon.

Shell Welwyn Garden City Tree Survey and Tree Constraints Plan

1:100 @ A0 SY21-292-TS-TCP-21-01 18.05.21

**Revision Date** 

SQURES YOUNG LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE

info@squiresyoung.co.uk 01580 890160 www.squiresyoung.co.uk Suite 1, Unit 2, Crown Yard, Bedgebury Estate, Goudhurst, Kent TN17 2QZ

0

© 2021 Squires Young Landscape Architecture

### 12.0 Arboricultural Impact Assessment

- 12.1 The proposed works shown on drawing No.12038629 PSL2 21 produced by MBH Design Studio Ltd. were drawn up after the production of our Tree Survey and Tree Constraints drawing SY21-292-TS-TCP-21-01.
- 12.2 The application proposes the demolition of the existing sales building and car wash. Construction of a new sales building and the reorganisation of car parking and the installation of four high speed EV charging points together with associated infrastructure.
- 12.3 Drawing SY21-292-AIA-TRR-21-06 shows that four trees are to be removed to allow works to take place these are T3 C rated Cherry, T4 C rated Cherry, T11 C rated Ash and T12 C rated Cherry.
- 12.4 Drawing SY21-292-AIA-RPA-21-05 shows the calculated Root Protection Areas together with the proposed works.
- 12.5 Drawing SY21-292-AIA-TPP-21-07 shows the draft positions of Tree Protection fencing to protect the retained trees and Landscaped areas. Any personnel involved in demolition or construction works will not be allowed access to these areas unless under arboricultural supervision. It is important that these areas are not used for the storage of any materials or machinery even on a temporary basis. An initial position of TPF is shown close to T9 to T11, after the removal of the fenced compound and associated hard surfaces the TPF will be relocated to the line shown
- 12.6 Drawing SY21-292-AIA-TPP-21-07 also shows were proposed works will take place within the RPA of retained trees and these works should be carried out under arboricultural supervision. The works within the RPA of T2 is the installation of a gravel permeable path and this should take place with minimal excavation. The works within the RPAs of T9 to T11 are the removal of fencing and hard surfaces to be replaced by soft landscaped area, again these works should be carried out under arboricultural supervision.
- 12.7 T13 to T15 are off-site trees whose RPAs may be impacted by proposed works. Tree Protection Fencing is indicated to remind contractors that minimal excavation should take place within these areas. Works proposed with the RPA of T13 again should be carried out under arboricultural supervision, an initial position of TPF is indicated.
- 12.9 TPF is shown to protect the B rated trees T16 and T17, as the existing canopy is retained works should be able to be carried out without impacting these retained trees.



12.8 BS 5837:2012 states 'Barriers should be fit for the purpose of excluding construction activity and appropriate to the degree and proximity of work taking place around the retained trees. Barriers should be maintained to ensure they remain rigid and complete.' Barrier details are to be found on the drawing. In this case we recommend the following specification of fencing to protect the TPO'd trees and trees close to the proposed works -2m tall welded mesh panels on rubber or concrete feet or attached to scaffold poles driven into the ground. The panels should be joined together with 4 no. non-releasable plastic ties. All weather notices (min.A4 size) marked 'Construction Exclusion Zone No Access' (or similar) should be attached to the barriers. It is important that these notices are fixed where they are visible to the site construction labour force, so the majority of these signs should be fixed to the site side of the barrier and not on the 'public' side. It is important that all of the site labour force are aware that these barriers are not to be removed, even temporally, without permission of the Local Planning Authority or Site Arborist. After erection any Tree Protection fencing should be examined by ourselves to ensure that it fulfils the recommendations of BS5837:2012.







### Category U

Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years

### Trees to be considered for retention

### Category A



Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years

### Category C

Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 m



•

0

Woodland Canopy (WC)

Calculated Root Protection Area

Shadow effect calculated as per BS 5837

The original of this drawing was produced in colour – a monochrome copy should not be relied upon.

Project Title Shell Welwyn Garden City Arboricultural Impact Assessment Tree Constraints Plan

Scale **Dwg No.** Date 1-200 @ A2 SY21-292-AIA-TCP-21-04 05.07.21

Revision Revision Date



info@squiresyoung.co.uk 01580 890160 www.squiresyoung.co.uk Suite 1, Unit 2, Crown Yard, Bedgebury Estate, Goudhurst, Kent TN17 2QZ © 2021 Squires Young Landscape Architecture

0

X X Ko) Yo. Ϋ́λ X. XX

5m

0

10m





Category U Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years

### Trees to be considered for retention

### Category A



•

0

Category B Trees of moderate quality with an

estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years

### Category C

Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 m



Calculated Root Protection Area

The original of this drawing was produced in colour – a monochrome copy should not be relied upon.

Project Title

Shell Welwyn Garden City Arboricultural Impact Assessment Root Protection Areas

Scale Dwg No. Date

1-200 @ A2 SY21-292-AIA-RPA-21-05 05.07.21

Revision **Revision Date** 



info@squiresyoung.co.uk 01580 890160 www.squiresyoung.co.uk Suite 1, Unit 2, Crown Yard, Bedgebury Estate, Goudhurst, Kent TN17 2QZ © 2021 Squires Young Landscape Architecture

0

0

15m



### Trees to be considered for retention



Category A Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years



### Category B

Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years



### Category C

Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 m



Woodland Canopy (WC)



Trees to be removed to allow development works to take place T3 C rated Cherry T4 C rated Cherry T11 C rated Ash

T12 C rated Cherry

The original of this drawing was produced in colour – a monochrome copy should not be relied upon.

Project Title

Shell Welwyn Garden City Arboricultural Impact Assessment Trees Removed Retained

Scale Dwg No. Date

1-200 @ A2 SY21-292-AIA-TRR-21-06 05.07.21

Revision **Revision Date** 



info@squiresyoung.co.uk 01580 890160 www.squiresyoung.co.uk Suite 1, Unit 2, Crown Yard, Bedgebury Estate, Goudhurst, Kent TN17 2QZ © 2021 Squires Young Landscape Architecture

0

10m



The retained trees and planting will require protection

Landscape & Tree Protection fencing- as per details shown below

Fencing will be installed before any demolition or construction works take place and be removed after construction works are completed to allow landscape works to be carried out.

2m high panels to be joined together with two metal joiners 1m apart (or 4 plastic ties). Signage to be fixed to the panels (facing towards site operations) saying-

'CONSTRUCTION EXCLUSION ZONE- NO ACCESS' or similar.

The purpose of this fencing is to remind contractors of the importance of avoiding damage to the retained trees and to protect the retained landscape areas.







Project Title

Shell Welwyn Garden City Arboricultural Impact Assessment Tree Protection Plan

Scale Dwg No. Date

1-200 @ A2 SY21-292-AIA-TPP-21-07 05.07.21

Revision **Revision Date** 



info@squiresyoung.co.uk 01580 890160 www.squiresyoung.co.uk Suite 1, Unit 2, Crown Yard, Bedgebury Estate, Goudhurst, Kent TN17 2QZ © 2021 Squires Young Landscape Architecture

0

5m

10m

# Trees to be planted in positions shown:

AC Acer campestre 12-14 45I (Barchams//I Tree Carbon credit score rating B) LJ Ligustrum japonicum 10-12 35I 1.9m clear stem (Credit score B) UL Ulmus Lobel 12-14 45I (Barchams//I Tree Carbon credit score rating A)

# Trees to be supplied by Barcham Trees or alternative UK supplier with similar biosecurity protocols also with Plant Healthy Certificate of Conformity

All trees to have double all round short stakes with a half round bar between them The trees should be fixed to this bar with 'Naturetie' biodegradable tree tie material. All trees in grass to have a 2 metre diameter tree pit with 70mm chipped bark cover

Thoroughly water each tree on completion of planting to expel air pockets and to settle soil around roots.

Apply at the following rates:

50 litres per tree. The existing topsoil should be used for tree planting with 5% mushroom compost and 2.5kg Carbon Gold Tree Soil Improver (BioChar) per tree.

# Shrub planting:

PL Prunus lusitanica 100-125 101 planted as hedge 1m apart in double row 0.75m apart All planted areas to be topsoiled to a minimum depth of 600mm using topsoil saved from the site.

If topsoil needs to be imported it must be to BS 3882:2015

All planted areas to be mulched with 70mm of chipped bark mulch

# Landscape Maintenance

It is the responsibility of the new buildings owners to ensure that the

Landscape Maintenance is carried out as detailed in the following notes for the life of the development.

The following notes relate to the maintenance of the soft landscaping areas as it may be that maintenance of the hard surfacing may not be the responsibility of the Landscape Contractor. If this is the case the contractor responsible for the hard surface maintenance should be advised that the use of residual herbicide is not acceptable. Hard surfaces can be kept weed free by mechanical means and, if necessary, the use of herbicides.

During the five year period annual visits by the implementing Landscape Architect should be made to check that all maintenance works are being carried out properly and as detailed.

# Soft Landscape Maintenance Details for Shell Weiwyn Garden City Establishment Period – 12 months from Practical Completion

To be carried out by the Landscape Contractor and thereafter by the appointed maintenance

Watering During periods of reduced rainfall all planting should be irrigated to maintain a moist and healthy root zone. This shall be carried out by hand from a bowser or by hose from permitted water points.

Weed Control All areas of planting must be kept weed free. Inspect mulches on each visit and maintain to a depth of 70mm thereby assisting water retention and suppressing weed growth. Herbicide use should be kept to a minimum particularly in areas of ground cover which should be weeded by hand.

Tree support Check for any sign of wind rocking, frost heave, settlement or human interference and ensure that trees remain stable and secure.

Pruning of shrubs Little pruning of shrubs should be required in the establishment period and after as follows. However any aberrant, uncharacteristic or reverted growth should be removed and all pathways, roadsides and sight lines kept clear.

Protection of planting Visits should be used to observe and where appropriate obstruct any desire paths that may appear or alternatively manage them in a constructive way. Also areas that show wear or erosion at the periphery should be restored and if required protected by temporary fencing.

Pests and Diseases On each visit inspect for any sign of disease, pest infestation or damage by vermin and take appropiate control measures if required.

Litter Litter picking in both planted areas and hard surfaces should be carried out on each

Plant failures On each visit note should be taken of any dead or missing plants and these replaced before the end of the five year period. Grass See maintenance notes on this drawing.

# Frequency of visits

December/January/February/March - 1 visit each month.

April – November – 2 visits each month. This schedule of visits may need to be altered if any period of extreme weather occurs such as drought, excessive rain or high wind.

Further maintenance years 2-5

Maintenance during this period should be as detailed for the establishment period with the following additional details:

Fertiliser application

Years two, four: all trees to be given the following rate of Granulated sugar:

3 grammes per litre per square metre of crown spread

Years three, five: all planted areas to be fertilised with Sierrablen Flora granular fertiliser at 70g/square metre

After this period the fertilising regime should be reassessed by the Landscape Architect Pruning of plants

All pruning should be carried out to maintain the natural shape and form of plants and pruning to 'box' shapes should be avoided.

# Perennial meadow grass.

New grassed areas should be cultivated and have the soil raked to a medium tilth and sown with Emorsgate EM5 Meadow Mixture for Loamy Soils at a rate of 4g/m<sup>2</sup> and then trodden in. Year 1

Mow the newly sown meadow grass regularly in the first year of establishment to a height of 40-60mm removing all arisings.

Year 2 - 5

Spring cutting in March can be carried out if deemed necessary, the mower should be set high (70-100mm)

Weed out or spot treat any perennial weeds which appear.

Cut in late July to a height of 40-70 mm. removing all cuttings. Remember to remove all cuttings to gradually reduce the soil fertility and to avoid leaving a thatch which will inhibit the growth of next years flowers.

An additional late Summer to Autumn cut can take place if required.

# No fertiliser should be applied



- BS 3998: 2010 Tree work Recommendations

All works to be carried out in accordance with the recommendations of the British Standards shown above.

As we have not had access to a full services drawing the Contractor should check for services before commencing works.



Existing trees retained

Perennial Meadow Grass

Existing planting retained





Existing planting retained but hard pruned down to 250mm high



Prunus lusitanica hedge

The original of this drawing was produced in colour a monochrome copy should not be relied upon. This plan must be used with reference to Landscape Management Plan Document SY21–292– LMP-21-01

Project Title

Shell Welwyn Garden City Landscape Planting Plan

Scale Dwg No. Date

0

1-100 @ A0 SY21-292-LPP-21-08 19.07.21

Revision **Revision Date** 

5m

SQUIRES YOUNG LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE

info@squiresyoung.co.uk 01580 890160 www.squiresyoung.co.uk Suite 1, Unit 2, Crown Yard, Bedgebury Estate, Goudhurst, Kent TN17 2QZ © 2021 Squires Young Landscape Architecture

10m

15m ( )

## Contact

fs@squiresyoung.co.uk www.squiresyoung.co.uk

Francis Squires 07770 727525

Suite 1 Unit 2 Crown Yard Bedgebury Estate Goudhurst Kent TN17 2QZ

INSPIRED

LANDSCAPE

SOLUTIONS

