

**From:** [Andrew Windscheffel](#)  
**To:** [Andrew Windscheffel](#)  
**Subject:** RE: 45 Northaw Road East  
**Date:** 18 August 2016 11:18:20

---

---

**From:** David Chapman  
**Sent:** 17 August 2016 13:17  
**To:** Andrew Windscheffel  
**Subject:** 45 Northaw Road East

Andrew,

Further to my earlier mail concerning the application for No 45 Northaw East enclosing photographs I would like the following questions to be raised at the meeting:

1. Is it standard practice for the planning department to except retrospective applications after the applicant has failed to comply with the original application.
2. Variation 1 - Erection of a 200mm (later it is mentioned as 400mm) high parapet wall. In fact this wall has been increased by 1.15m
3. Variation 4 - Increase in the height of the flat roof. As Variation No 1
4. Section 2 & 3 of the Agenda item 11

Basically items 9.4.-9.7 say that the design of the application little impact on the design and context of the area.

The original design was out of context and over whelming especially from the back (refer to photos) and the amendments just make it worse.

4. Section 4

9.10 - Loss of light - please see photo from our bathroom. Loss of light was bad enough with original application, however the extent of the parapet wall has made it worse.

9.14 - Please see photos to show quite how high the boundary wall is.

*David Chapman.*

47 Northaw Road East, Cuffley, Hertfordshire, EN6 4LU.

Confidentiality: This e-mail and its attachments are intended for the above named only and may be confidential. If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone; please reply to this e-mail and highlight the error to the sender. Security Warning: Please note that this e-mail has been created in the knowledge that Internet e-mail is not a 100% secure communications medium. We advise that you understand and observe this lack of security when e-mailing us.