

This is to support my objection for planning application
6/2023/0374/FULL

1. The applicant is claiming that the parking area and garden was always shared with 11 Tolmers Gardens which is false information. The applicant initially bought part of 11 Tolmers Gardens (he should have a separate deed for it) as he needed it for the project of 12 Tolmers Gardens, then he bought 11 Tolmers Garden as the previous owner bought a flat at 12 Tolmers Garden. When I bought my flat the applicant had 11 Tolmers Gardens on the market with Statons estate agent but he couldn't sell it so he rented it out. How can he say that the parking and garden of the two properties was always shared? So was he planning to sell 11 Tolmers Gardens with no boundaries? There are legal documents that shows the boundaries of the two properties.

2. The applicant is claiming that there is one parking available at 12 Tolmers gardens at the front of the building. The applicant still owns two of the flats at 12 Tolmers Gardens as he could not sell them and he's renting them out. The occupants of Flat No1 are already using permanently two Parking spaces, the one which is for flat No1 and one visitors parking which is supposed to be available for all of us. There is two people living in flat No1 with two cars , this shouldn't be happening anyway but because he needs to rent his flats to get the rent he is allowing this to happens but he already took our money so he's abusing our rights.