

Fri 24/05/2019 10:09

Colesdale Farm Application 6/2019/0882/OUTLINE

I wish to object to the above application:

1. Yet another attempt to take away more green belt.
2. Already approximately 800 homes are planned in the area between Cuffley and Goffs Oak. Judging by the number of cars most properties have this would equate to at least 1,600 extra vehicles, so a huge impact on local roads, in addition to schools and surgeries - in the latter instance more pressure due to the reported continuing decrease in the number of doctors.
3. So yet a further 38 dwellings, with an anticipated 70/80 vehicles, would be even more unsustainable. I can't see the residents walking to the station/shops and then return with bags of shopping. Even less likely to walk to Crews Hill station, with no pavements, and therefore a perilous journey for pedestrians.
4. I understand this would be an "island development" - against national planning policy.
5. This junction is extremely busy - horrendously so during the rush hour, resulting in a number of accidents and long, long queues through Cuffley and Goffs Oak, at times extending as far as Goffs school. So totally inadequate roads, even for the current volume of traffic.
6. Development in the green belt has to be justified as detailed in the National Planning Policy Framework - apparently no such very special circumstances apply.
7. How many BROWNFIELD SITES are there in the borough suitable for residential development?
8. IT THIS APPLICATION WERE APPROVED IT WOULD BE THE THIN END OF THE WEDGE TO JUSTIFY FURTHER DEVELOPMENT UNTIL NO GREEN BELT EXISTED BETWEEN CUFFLEY AND NORTHAW. Do any councillors involved in such applications actually live in Cuffley or Northaw and thus would be personally affected? There is no justification for this proposal which would have a detrimental effect on both Cuffley and Northaw.

116 Brookside Crescent
Cuffley