From:
 Emelissa Engelhardt

 To:
 Evil: Br cks and roof tiles - 6/2023/0402/COND, 10 Park Street, Old Hatfield.

 Date:
 21 February 2023 12:32:20

## \*\* WARNING: This email originated outside the WHBC Network. Please be extra vigilant when opening attachments or clicking links \*\*

Hi Emplises

Please see below images of bricks and roof slates I also attach a copy of the email, that Lizzie Mugova sent to me on 25 1 23

With kind regards Graeme Bowie

## **BOWIE ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN**

Architectural and planning consultants

9D Roydon Road, The Maltings, Stanstead Abbotts, Hertfordshire SG12 8HQ



Sent: 25 January 2023 14:47

To:

Subject: RE: 10 Park Street, Old Hatfield - 6/2022/2714/HOUSE & 6/2022/LB

Dear Graeme,

## I've had a chat with the Heritage officer this afternoon and below are her comments:

As discussed, I have no objection in principle to an outbuilding in this location.

I would recommend a building with a more traditional form – having the roof span the narrowest width of the building is perhaps the easiest way to achieve this. This would remove the wide, squat appearance of the proposal (as the roof spans the widest width) and would result in a ridge running parallel with the main house.

Brickwork to match the boundary walls is a sympathetic approach. I would also suggest cladding the roof in a natural slate rather than an interlocking clay tile — these do tend to have a very modern appearance because they are machine made. I understand that the 15 degree pitch as proposed is likely to be too slack for slate, but switching the ridge around might allow for a slightly steeper pitch without the building appearing too prominent. A steeper pitch would inevitably result in a higher ridge but if the roof is clad in a natural slate then any views of the roof of the building will be of a simple, traditional roof form with a traditional finish so will not appear incongruous in the locality.

