From: Naciye Durmus

Sent: 02 October 2018 22:44

To: Planning

Subject: Application ref: 6/2018/2170/FULL

F.A.O: Ms Josie Hillman

Dear Ms Josie.

I have been away for the last three weeks and somehow just received your letter dated 7th September 2018 today. The letter states that the comments could have been submitted by 28th September 2018 which sounds a lot shorter period than the usual for this type of applications. Unfortunately, I was not able to submit my comments as I wasn't aware of the application due to the reason that I only have received the letter today. This is an very important matter for me and I previously submitted my comments for every single application or appeal for the same issue and address. I therefore would be grateful, if you could kindly consider and process my comments for the current application even though it is later than the date stated as my receipt of your letter was beyond my control.

Please below my comments for the above application.

"With reference to the appeal above, I would like to let you know that I strongly disagree on the planning application for the development which sadly be raising higher and higher right at the back of my back garden.

believe that the first planning application for the first part of this development was already very unfair by its nature to all the neighbouring residents of Theobalds Close. This environmentally hazard look-alike development which was allowed only about 1½ meter away from my garden boundary would also set a bad example for the potential applications of same kind in the future and it is shocking that it was granted in the first place.

I am aware of the Council's sensitivity about keeping the balance and strictly preserving the originality of the area, however, this whole development looks like a great contradiction to the mentality and the values of the Council which I believe will be questioned greatly in the future.

Now, this environmentally hazardous building was asked to be towered with another storey as the first wasn't bad enough. Therefore, I can't find enough words to explain my disappointment and objection to the application but, I would list my objections points as below by emphasising once more that it is the most imbalanced and disturbing looking development I have ever seen in the area so far.

My main objection grounds follow as below:

- 1) This development will be overlooking and overshadowing my garden greatly enough with the first part, but with the second part it will be doing it so badly. It will block my garden view completely and I will ruin the privacy of all the gardens including mine which it is visible from. Even though the development plans suggest that there will be no windows at the back of the development, but there seem to be the bathroom windows and windows in the staircase area overlooking our gardens particularly mine. This development has already ruined the natural look of our back gardens and certainly decreased the values of our properties of particularly No 1, No 3 mine, and No 5. I believe the loss we are going to suffer will be greater if the current application is granted.
- 2) The previous granted application and the current proposals are completely out of character.
- 3) The proposal doesn't comply with Lifetime Homes standards.
- 4) The proposed three bed flats need provision of two parking spaces it means that the existing car parking will be reduced.
- 5) The proposal requires bigger bin storage.

6) Living-walls require maintenance/light conditions and specialist care.

I therefore very much like you to stop this development going further and be extremely happy if the appeal would be declined."

Naciye Kaya

3, Theobalds Close Cuffley, Potters Bar Hertfordshire EN6 4HH