

WELWYN HATFIELD BOROUGH COUNCIL EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR - PLANNING, PUBLIC PROTECTION AND GOVERNANCE

DELEGATED APPLICATION

Application No: 6/2019/0233/HOUSE

Location: 75 Pine Grove Brookmans Park Hatfield AL9 7BL

Proposal: Erection of a single storey front extensions to include modifications

to existing porch

Officer: Ms Emily Stainer

Recommendation: Granted

6/2019/0233/HOUSE

6/2019/0233/HOUS	E				
Context					
Site and Application description	The application site consists of a two-storey dwelling finished in white render and a clay tiled roof, with a gable projection to the front. Pine Grove is characterised by predominately large detached dwellings set within generous plots, of varying materials and architectural design. Planning permission was recently approved for a single storey rear addition to the property. This application seeks permission for a single storey front extension and alterations to the existing porch.				
Constraints (as defined within WHDP 2005)	GB - Greenbelt - Distance: 3.71 PAR - PARISH (NORTH MYMMS) - Distance: 0 ROW - FOOTPATH (NORTH MYMMS 068) - Distance: 13.76 Wards - Brookmans Park & Little Heath - Distance: 0				
Relevant planning history	Application Number: S6/2011/1289/FP Decision: Granted Decision Date: 22 August 2011 Proposal: Erection of two storey front and part two storey, part single storey rear extensions Application Number: 6/2018/2591/HOUSE Decision: Granted Decision Date: 20 December 2018 Proposal: Erection of single storey rear extension				
Consultations					
Neighbour representations	Support: 0	Object: 0	Other: 0		
Publicity	Neighbour notification letters				
Summary of neighbour responses	None				
Consultees and responses	North Mymms Parish Co	uncil – No comment.			

Relevant Policies				
D1 ⊠ D2 ☐ GBSP1 ⊠ GBSP2 ☐ M14				
Supplementary Design Guidance 🗌 Supplementary Parking Guidance 🔲 Interim				
Policy for car parking and garage sizes				
Others				
Main Issues				
Is the development within a Conservation Area?				
☐ Yes ⊠ No				
Would the significance of the designated heritage asset be preserved or enhanced?				
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A				
Comment (if applicable):				
Would the development reflect the character of the area?				
⊠ Yes □ No				
Comment (if applicable):				
The application proposes a single storey front extension and alteration of the materials of the				
existing front porch. The front extension features a mono-pitched roof with a rendered finish and clay				
tiles to match the existing roof.				
the to mater the existing root.				
The character of the area is mixed, comprising detached properties of various sizes, which were				
constructed at different times and which display a variety of architectural styles and materials. A				
number of dwellings within close proximity feature front extensions of either hipped or pitched roof				
design. The proposed single storey front extension would therefore not appear out of context with				
the mixed character of the area.				
Subject to external materials (walls, roof and windows) matching the existing dwelling, the proposed				
development would respect and relate to the character and appearance of the dwelling. Therefore				
there is no concern in this regard and the proposal complies with Policies D1 and D2 of the Local				
Plan and the Supplementary Design Guidance (SDG).				
Would the development reflect the character of the dwelling?				
Comment (if applicable): See above.				
Would the development maintain the amenity of adjoining occupiers? (e.g. privacy, outlook,				
light etc.)				
⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A				
Comment (if applicable):				
No neighbour representations have been received. The impact of the proposed development on the				
residential amenity of neighbouring dwellings is considered in terms of the impact on neighbouring				
properties access to day/sun/sky light, privacy and overshadowing. Giving consideration to the small				
scale of the proposal, the siting of windows and the orientation of the dwellings, it is considered that				
the proposed extension would not have an unreasonable impact on the daylight or sunlight afforded				
to the neighbouring residency or the level of privacy they currently enjoy. Consequently it is				
considered that the proposal would sufficiently maintain the amenity of adjoining occupiers in				
accordance with Policy D1.				
Would the development provide / retain sufficient parking?				
Comment (if applicable):				
Any other issues None				
,				

Conclusion

The proposal would represent an acceptable standard of design, in accordance with Policies D1 and D2 of the Saved Local Plan; the Council's SDG and the requirements of the NPPF.

Conditions:

1. The brickwork, roof tile, bond, mortar, detailing, guttering, soffits and other external decorations of the approved extension/alterations must match the existing dwelling in relation to colour and texture.

REASON: To ensure a satisfactory standard of development in the interests of visual amenity in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework and Policies D1 and D2 of the Welwyn Hatfield District Plan 2005.

DRAWING NUMBERS

2. The development/works shall not be started and completed other than in accordance with the approved plans and details:

Plan Number	Revision Number	Details	Received Date
ED/75PG/por 101	Α	Existing Floor and Roof Plans	4 March 2019
ED/75PG/por 301	Α	Proposed Floor and Roof Plans	4 March 2019
ED/75PG/por 401	Α	Proposed Elevations	4 March 2019
ED/75PG/por 01		Block, Location and Front Views	27 March 2019
ED/75PG/por 102	Α	Existing Elevations	4 March 2019

REASON: To ensure that the development is carried out in accordance with the approved plans and details.

POSITIVE AND PROACTIVE STATEMENT

The decision has been made taking into account, where practicable and appropriate the requirements of paragraph 38 of the National Planning Policy Framework and material planning considerations do not justify a decision contrary to the development plan (see Officer's report which can be viewed on the Council's website or inspected at these offices).

Informatives:

 This permission does not convey any consent which may be required under any legislation other than the Town and Country Planning Acts. Any permission required under the Building Regulations or under any other Act, must be obtained from the relevant authority or body e.g. Fire Officer, Health and Safety Executive, Environment Agency (Water interest etc. Neither does this permission negate or override any private covenants which may affect the land.

Determined By:

Mr Mark Peacock 1 April 2019