

# WELWYN HATFIELD BOROUGH COUNCIL EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR - PLANNING, PUBLIC PROTECTION AND GOVERNANCE

# **DELEGATED APPLICATION**

**Application No:** 6/2017/1765/HOUSE

**Location:** 36 Bluebell Way Hatfield AL10 9FJ

**Proposal:** Erection of single storey rear extension and conversion of garage

to habitable space following demolition of existing orangery

Officer: Ms L Hale

## 6/2017/1765/HOUSE

| 6/2017/1765/HOUSE  Context                |  |                    |          |  |  |
|---|--|--------------------|----------|--|--|
| Site and<br>Application<br>description    | The site consists of a two storey detached dwelling with an integral garage located on the north east side of Bluebell Way. The property benefits from a rear conservatory.  |                    |          |  |  |
|   | The application seeks planning permission for the erection of a rear extension with a sloping roof and 3 roof lights measuring 4.2 metres deep by 8.3 metres wide and a maximum height of 3.3 metres. The extension would be constructed of matching materials. The proposal also includes the conversion of the integral garage to habitable space with the installation of a ground floor window to match those existing. The existing conservatory would be demolished. |                    |          |  |  |
|   | Permitted development rights have been removed from the property.  |                    |          |  |  |
| Constraints (as defined within WHDP 2005) | LCA - Landscape Character Area (De Havilland Plain)  |                    |          |  |  |
|   | PAR - PARISH (HATFIELD)  |                    |          |  |  |
|   | Wards - Hatfield Villages  |                    |          |  |  |
|   | A4D - Article 4 HMO Direction  |                    |          |  |  |
|   | HAT - Hatfield Aerodrome   |                    |          |  |  |
| Relevant planning history                 | Application Number: S6/1999/0971/FP Decision: Approval Subject to Decision Date: 30 June 2000  |                    |          |  |  |
|   | Proposal: Erection of 144 houses and 26 flats with associated access roads, garages, parking areas and public open spaces, cycleways and footways  |                    |          |  |  |
|   | - PD rights removed - Schedule 2 Part 1 Classes A,B,D,F & Part 2 A,B   |                    |          |  |  |
|   | 002/0967/FP Decision   | : Granted Decision |          |  |  |
|   | Proposal: Erection of 46 dwellings (amendments to planning approval S6/1999/971/FP)  |                    |          |  |  |
| Consultations                             |  |                    |          |  |  |
| Neighbour representations                 | Support: 0   | Object: 0          | Other: 0 |  |  |
| Publicity                                 | Neighbour letters  |                    |          |  |  |
| Summary of                                | N/A  |                    |          |  |  |

| responses Consultees and responses Relevant Policies  NPFF D1  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Relevant Policies  NPPF D1   |  |  |  |  |
| NPPF   |  |  |  |  |
| Is the development within a conservation area?    Yes   No     Would the significance of the designated heritage asset be conserved or enhanced?   Yes   No     Comment (if applicable):   Would the development reflect the character of the area?   Yes   No   No     Comment (if applicable):   Would the development reflect the character of the dwelling?   Yes   No   N/A     Comment (if applicable):   Would the development maintain the amenity of adjoining occupiers? (e.g. privacy, outlook, light etc.)   Yes   No   N/A     Comment (if applicable):   Would the development provide / retain sufficient parking?   Yes   No   N/A     Comment (if applicable):   Policy M14 requires parking provisions to be made in accordance to standards set out in the Council's Supplementary Parking Guidance (SPG) Parking Standards 2004. The SPG identifies the application site as being outside a designated zone which is described as "elsewhere". A 4 bedroom property would regult in the conversion of the existing garage, which would result in the loss of   |  |  |  |  |
| Would the significance of the designated heritage asset be conserved or enhanced?  Yes No Comment (if applicable):  Would the development reflect the character of the area?  Yes No Comment (if applicable):  Would the development reflect the character of the dwelling?  Yes No NA Comment (if applicable):  Would the development maintain the amenity of adjoining occupiers? (e.g. privacy, outlook, light etc.)  Yes No NA Comment (if applicable):  Would the development maintain the amenity of adjoining occupiers? (e.g. privacy, outlook, light etc.)  Yes No NA Comment (if applicable):  Would the development provide / retain sufficient parking?  Yes No NA Comment (if applicable):  Policy M14 requires parking provisions to be made in accordance to standards set out in the Council's Supplementary Parking Guidance (SPG) Parking Standards 2004. The SPG identifies the application site as being outside a designated zone which is described as "elsewhere". A 4 bedroom property would require 3 on-site parking spaces.  The proposal would result in the conversion of the existing garage, which would result in the loss of  |  |  |  |  |
| Would the significance of the designated heritage asset be conserved or enhanced?  ☐ Yes ☐ No Comment (if applicable):  Would the development reflect the character of the area?  ☐ Yes ☐ No Comment (if applicable):  Would the development reflect the character of the dwelling?  ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A Comment (if applicable):  Would the development maintain the amenity of adjoining occupiers? (e.g. privacy, outlook, light etc.)  ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A Comment (if applicable):  Would the development provide / retain sufficient parking?  ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A Comment (if applicable):  Would the development provide / retain sufficient parking?  ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A Comment (if applicable):  Policy M14 requires parking provisions to be made in accordance to standards set out in the Council's Supplementary Parking Guidance (SPG) Parking Standards 2004. The SPG identifies the application site as being outside a designated zone which is described as "elsewhere". A 4 bedroom property would require 3 on-site parking spaces.  The proposal would result in the conversion of the existing garage, which would result in the loss of  |  |  |  |  |
| □ Yes □ No Comment (if applicable):  Would the development reflect the character of the area? □ Yes □ No Comment (if applicable):  Would the development reflect the character of the dwelling? □ Yes □ No □ N/A Comment (if applicable):  Would the development maintain the amenity of adjoining occupiers? (e.g. privacy, outlook, light etc.) □ Yes □ No □ N/A Comment (if applicable):  Would the development provide / retain sufficient parking? □ Yes □ No □ N/A Comment (if applicable):  Policy M14 requires parking provisions to be made in accordance to standards set out in the Council's Supplementary Parking Guidance (SPG) Parking Standards 2004. The SPG identifies the application site as being outside a designated zone which is described as "elsewhere". A 4 bedroom property would require 3 on-site parking spaces.  The proposal would result in the conversion of the existing garage, which would result in the loss of  |  |  |  |  |
| Comment (if applicable):  Would the development reflect the character of the area?  ☐ Yes ☐ No Comment (if applicable):  Would the development reflect the character of the dwelling?  ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A Comment (if applicable):  Would the development maintain the amenity of adjoining occupiers? (e.g. privacy, outlook, light etc.)  ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A Comment (if applicable):  Would the development provide / retain sufficient parking?  ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A Comment (if applicable):  Policy M14 requires parking provisions to be made in accordance to standards set out in the Council's Supplementary Parking Guidance (SPG) Parking Standards 2004. The SPG identifies the application site as being outside a designated zone which is described as "elsewhere". A 4 bedroom property would require 3 on-site parking spaces.  The proposal would result in the conversion of the existing garage, which would result in the loss of   |  |  |  |  |
| Yes   No   No   Comment (if applicable):    Would the development reflect the character of the dwelling?   Yes   No   N/A   No   N/A   |  |  |  |  |
| Comment (if applicable):         Would the development reflect the character of the dwelling?  |  |  |  |  |
| Would the development maintain the amenity of adjoining occupiers? (e.g. privacy, outlook, light etc.)  ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A  Comment (if applicable):  Would the development provide / retain sufficient parking?  ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A  Comment (if applicable):  Policy M14 requires parking provisions to be made in accordance to standards set out in the Council's Supplementary Parking Guidance (SPG) Parking Standards 2004. The SPG identifies the application site as being outside a designated zone which is described as "elsewhere". A 4 bedroom property would require 3 on-site parking spaces.  The proposal would result in the conversion of the existing garage, which would result in the loss of   |  |  |  |  |
| Would the development maintain the amenity of adjoining occupiers? (e.g. privacy, outlook, light etc.)  ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A Comment (if applicable):  Would the development provide / retain sufficient parking?  ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A Comment (if applicable):  Policy M14 requires parking provisions to be made in accordance to standards set out in the Council's Supplementary Parking Guidance (SPG) Parking Standards 2004. The SPG identifies the application site as being outside a designated zone which is described as "elsewhere". A 4 bedroom property would require 3 on-site parking spaces.  The proposal would result in the conversion of the existing garage, which would result in the loss of   |  |  |  |  |
| light etc.)  Yes No N/A  Comment (if applicable):  Would the development provide / retain sufficient parking?  Yes No N/A  Comment (if applicable):  Policy M14 requires parking provisions to be made in accordance to standards set out in the Council's Supplementary Parking Guidance (SPG) Parking Standards 2004. The SPG identifies the application site as being outside a designated zone which is described as "elsewhere". A 4 bedroom property would require 3 on-site parking spaces.   |  |  |  |  |
| Yes No N/A Comment (if applicable):  Would the development provide / retain sufficient parking?  Yes No N/A  Comment (if applicable):  Policy M14 requires parking provisions to be made in accordance to standards set out in the Council's Supplementary Parking Guidance (SPG) Parking Standards 2004. The SPG identifies the application site as being outside a designated zone which is described as "elsewhere". A 4 bedroom property would require 3 on-site parking spaces.  The proposal would result in the conversion of the existing garage, which would result in the loss of  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes No N/A  Comment (if applicable):  Policy M14 requires parking provisions to be made in accordance to standards set out in the Council's Supplementary Parking Guidance (SPG) Parking Standards 2004. The SPG identifies the application site as being outside a designated zone which is described as "elsewhere". A 4 bedroom property would require 3 on-site parking spaces.  The proposal would result in the conversion of the existing garage, which would result in the loss of   |  |  |  |  |
| Yes No N/A  Comment (if applicable):  Policy M14 requires parking provisions to be made in accordance to standards set out in the Council's Supplementary Parking Guidance (SPG) Parking Standards 2004. The SPG identifies the application site as being outside a designated zone which is described as "elsewhere". A 4 bedroom property would require 3 on-site parking spaces.  The proposal would result in the conversion of the existing garage, which would result in the loss of   |  |  |  |  |
| Policy M14 requires parking provisions to be made in accordance to standards set out in the Council's Supplementary Parking Guidance (SPG) Parking Standards 2004. The SPG identifies the application site as being outside a designated zone which is described as "elsewhere". A 4 bedroom property would require 3 on-site parking spaces.  The proposal would result in the conversion of the existing garage, which would result in the loss of   |  |  |  |  |
| Council's Supplementary Parking Guidance (SPG) Parking Standards 2004. The SPG identifies the application site as being outside a designated zone which is described as "elsewhere". A 4 bedroom property would require 3 on-site parking spaces.  The proposal would result in the conversion of the existing garage, which would result in the loss of   |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| The proposal would result in the conversion of the existing garage, which would result in the loss of one parking space. However, it is noted that the site benefits from a hardstanding which could accommodate 3 car parking spaces using the guidelines of 2.4m by 4.8m per space. Therefore, the proposal would comply with Policies D1 and M14 of the District Plan and the Interim Policy for Car Parking Standards and Garage Sizes 2014.   |  |  |  |  |
| Any other issues N/A   |  |  |  |  |
| Conclusion  The second development of the se |  |  |  |  |
| The proposed development would be constructed of matching materials and would be subordinate in scale to the existing dwelling. The proposal would have an acceptable impact on the character and appearance of the existing property, its site and the surrounding area and the proposal would not result in any significantly detrimental impacts on the living conditions of neighbouring occupiers. The proposal is therefore acceptable and is in accordance with Policies D1 and D2 of the Welwyn Hatfield District Plan 2005, the Supplementary Design Guide 2005 and the National Planning Policy  |  |  |  |  |

2 of 3

Framework 2012.

### **Conditions:**

1. The brickwork, roof tile, bond, mortar, detailing, guttering, soffits and other external decorations of the approved extension/alterations must match the existing dwelling/building in relation to colour and texture.

REASON: To ensure a satisfactory standard of development in the interests of visual amenity in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework and Policies D1 and D2 of the Welwyn Hatfield District Plan 2005.

#### **DRAWING NUMBERS**

2. The development/works shall not be started and completed other than in accordance with the approved plans and details:

| Plan<br>Number | Revision<br>Number | Details                              | Received Date  |
|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| 4204-OS2       | Α                  | Block Plan                           | 10 August 2017 |
| 4204-E01       |                    | Existing Floor Plans & Elevations    | 10 August 2017 |
| 4204-P01       | Α                  | Proposed Floor Plans &<br>Elevations | 10 August 2017 |
| 4204-OS1       | Α                  | Location Plan                        | 10 August 2017 |

REASON: To ensure that the development is carried out in accordance with the approved plans and details.

### 1. POSITIVE AND PROACTIVE STATEMENT

The decision has been made taking into account, where practicable and appropriate the requirements of paragraphs 186-187 of the National Planning Policy Framework and material planning considerations do not justify a decision contrary to the development plan (see Officer's report which can be viewed on the Council's website or inspected at these offices).

#### **Determined By:**

Mr M Peacock 5 October 2017