

Doing a little comparison with the previous planning request in 06/2022 from the developer Planning Application:

6/2022/0922/FULL which was "REJECTED" phew justice prevailed thank you so much

Below is extracted from his planning uploaded under here

<https://planning.welhat.gov.uk/Planning/Display/6/2022/0922/FULL>



You can clearly see his incorrect border of 11 Tolmers gardens this was 1st March 2022

Again showing it is the whole garden of 12 Tolmers

Previously in planning app in 2022 he never stated this as a communal garden

So changes:

Difference in planning app 2022 from 2023

He now wants to widen No 11 by 2.5m and increase height 1.5M

He now wants to reduce length of garden extension from 9m to 6.5M (2.5M difference)

He now wants to consume the forefront of No 12 stating this is communal/right of way parking with No 11

He now wants to state that the whole of the garden of No 12 is actually communal with No 11

He wants to build a cycle storage for 8 cycles in the garden of No 12

He has a possible 8 cars for No 11 so proposed 3 in front of new development and 1 in No 12 forecourt

There is still a possible 4 cars with no parking on a permit only street

There is also no visitors space for anyone in No 11

Oh he Must have forgot to make provisions for this (em no there is no space so lets leave it out of the planning app no one will know)

The building extension of No 11 is to the fence below so would totally block all views and light from my main bedroom window

I have stressed this point numerous times

To extend to the fence of No 11 below would block all views and light and replace with a block/Monolith of No 11

So he would then have the dilemma there is no longer a garden at No 11? hmmm what can i do?

I know what lets turn No 12 communal garden into a shared garden with 11 that way i can provide them a garden space

So to compare this planning permission in 2023 it is more aggressive/obtrusive/consuming/Boundary incorrect/abusive

So i think i have stated the clear defining difference instead of going for a more passive approach he has decided to take the more aggressive one at the expense of all in 12 & 10 directly and all whole live in Tolmers Gardens

I think the case is very clear to summarize:

