

Consultee Comment for planning application 6/2021/3422/MAJ

| | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Application Number | 6/2021/3422/MAJ |
| Location | Salisbury Square Hatfield AL9 5AD |
| Proposal | Erection of 1 x building containing 3 x flats, 11 x offices and 1 x retail unit (Use Class E), erection of 5 x terrace houses with parking and associated works, involving demolition of existing shopping parade with 7 x maisonettes above, alterations to existing parking area and erection of a parking area |
| Case Officer | Ms Ashley Ransome |
| Organisation | Hertfordshire Constabulary |
| Name | Gerry Brophy |
| Address | Architectural Liaison Officer Hatfield Police Station Comet Way Hatfield AL10 9SJ |
| Type of Comment | ConditionalResponse |
| Type | |
| Comments | <p>The rears of the 5 town house properties must be protected due to the exposed nature of the area. Nos 64 and 65 particularly so as they are within a communal area on the corner of the block. Key lockable gates must be fitted. All entrance doors along with all ground floor windows must be compliant with PAS24 as required by BR 99 however, I would recommend that the improved 2016. version be used rather than the out-of-date 2012. version referred to in the regulation. Given the number of doors required, they should not be classed as bespoke, rather correctly rated wooden doors should be sourced. I would expect to see some low lux level lighting to the front of the building to allow users to both see and be seen. Likewise, the widely dispersed car parking areas will need some low-level illumination from wall or column mounted luminaires (not bollards). From a crime prevention perspective, I would only be able to support this application should the above matters be addressed.</p> |
| Received Date | 08/03/2022 08:09:37 |
| Attachments | The following files have been uploaded: Reply to planning 10732022 (002).pdf |