

# Comment for planning application 6/2021/3422/MAJ

<b>Application Number</b>	<input type="text" value="6/2021/3422/MAJ"/>
<b>Location</b>	<input type="text" value="Salisbury Square Hatfield AL9 5AD"/>
<b>Proposal</b>	<input type="text" value="Erection of 1 x building containing 3 x flats, 11 x offices and 1 x retail unit (Use Class E), erection of 5 x terrace houses with parking and associated works, involving demolition of existing shopping parade with 7 x maisonettes above, alterations to existing parking area and erection of a parking area"/>
<b>Case Officer</b>	<input type="text" value="Ms Ashley Ransome"/>
<b>Organisation</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Type of Comment</b>	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
<b>Type</b>	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
<b>Comments</b>	<input type="text" value="Sustainability - the demolition of the existing building would waste a great deal of embedded CO2. It is a much better proposition to reuse the building. It was proposed to demolish it a decade ago, and even with no maintenance, it is still in much the same condition. With some creative design, shops and offices could face on to both the square and the car-park, making the shops visible to car drivers. Parking vs public realm - it is proposed to build private houses on a public car-park, and to pave over half the public green space for parking. That's not acceptable. Trees - the proposed loss of mature trees constitutes permanent damage to amenity and habitat. Environment - a bat survey will be required. There are definitely bats in and around Salisbury Square. Noise and dust from proposed demolition and building - a method statement will be required for yet another building job, blighting this fully-built up urban location."/>
<b>Received Date</b>	<input type="text" value="30/01/2022 20:26:28"/>
<b>Attachments</b>	