



SITE SPECIFIC SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

1. Site Details

| | | | |
|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| Site Name: | The Galleria | Site Address: | The Galleria Comet Way Hatfield Hertfordshire AL10 0XR |
| NGR: | E: 521492 N: 208442 | | |
| Site Ref Number: | 61149 | Site Type: Macro | Rooftop Upgrade |

2. Pre-Application Check List

Site Selection

| | | |
|---|-----|----|
| Was an LPA mast register used to check for suitable sites by the operator or the LPA? | | No |
| If no explain why: After a phone call to the LPA it was felt that the industry database was a more up to date source of information. | | |
| Was the industry site database checked for suitable sites by the operator: | Yes | |
| If no explain why: N/A | | |

Pre-application consultation with LPA

| | |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Date of written offer of pre-application consultation: | 11 th October 2021 |
| Was there pre-application contact: | No |
| Date of pre-application contact: | N/A |
| Name of contact: | The Director of Planning |
| Summary of outcome/Main issues raised: No comments have been received in respect to the proposal. | |

Ten Commitments Consultation

| | |
|--|-------|
| Rating of Site under Traffic Light Model: | Green |
| Prior to the submission of this application the applicant initiates pre-consultation discussions with the local planning authority. This provides an opportunity for the LPA to discuss development proposals and identify site specific issues. Further consultation has also been carried out with the Ward Councillors. | |

Summary of outcome/Main issues raised:

No responses had been received at the time of submission.

School/College

Location of site in relation to school/college:

There are no schools in close proximity that overlook the site.

Outline of consultation carried out with school/college:

N/A

Summary of outcome/Main issues raised:

N/A

Civil Aviation Authority/Secretary of State for Defence/Aerodrome Operator consultation (only required for an application for prior approval)

| | | |
|---|--|----|
| Will the structure be within 3km of an aerodrome or airfield? | | No |
| Has the Civil Aviation Authority/Secretary of State for Defence/Aerodrome Operator been notified? | | No |
| Details of response: | | |
| N/A | | |

Developer's Notice

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Copy of Developer's Notice enclosed? | Yes | |
| Date served: | 20 th October 2021 | |

3. Proposed Development

The proposed site:

The current roof equipment is being upgraded with limited impact but with significant new connectivity benefits. The sharing of base stations between multiple operators is one of the key strategic policy principles contained within the NPPF. H3G and EE have a network sharing agreement and thus these installations are fully compliant with the NPPF. The existing site is located off Comet Way on the rooftop of The Galleria. The area is commercial in nature and all residential amenity issues were addressed at the time of the first installation. The existing site and views can be seen below in Figure 1-3.

Figure 1:



Figure 2:



Figure 3:



Central Government attaches great importance to the design of the built environment and outlines this within Section 12 (para. 124) of the National Planning Policy Framework. It states:

“Good design is a key aspect of sustainable development, creates better places in which to live and work and helps make development acceptable to communities.”

In keeping with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). guidelines of using: “high quality communications” (Section 10), the proposed design has been selected to minimise

visual impact upon the street scene by integrating with the existing built environment. The design of the proposed equipment is considered to be the least visually intrusive option available given the level of equipment required for 5G (see additional supporting statement – 5G Explanatory Note).

The presence of the roof top equipment sets a clear precedent for telecommunications development in this location and indicates that the principle of this proposal is acceptable in terms of siting. As stated above the National Planning Policy Framework advocates site sharing, and as such we believe that there are no sequentially preferable locations within the defined site search area.

The design of the proposed equipment is considered to be the least visually intrusive option available.

Any other proposal to satisfy the identified requirement would result in the addition of a separate ground based column elsewhere in close proximity to the existing structure. In our opinion, such a proposal would, in this instance, unnecessarily add to the clutter in the streetscene and result in a greater visual impact.

Local Planning Authority: Welwyn Hatfield Council

Development Plan: Welwyn Hatfield District Plan 2005

Site and its surrounds



Policy Relevant to the Development Site:

The site is designated as being within the settlement boundary, with urban uses to the north, east, south and west. The site location has no statutory designations so are not material.

Welwyn Hatfield does have a specific telecoms policy. This, together with the NPPF is of relevance. The National Planning Policy section of this supporting statement goes into detailed analysis of why this site is in compliance with the NPPF.

Policy Analysis:

Policy R21 states that:

Proposals for telecommunications development will be considered against the following criteria:

For mobile phone masts, base stations and transmitters:

i. For new free standing masts, the applicant must be able to demonstrate that there are technical reasons which prevent the installation of the apparatus on existing masts, buildings or other structures;

- ii. New free standing masts must have sufficient spare capacity to allow mast sharing, subject to any technical or environmental constraints, which will be secured by the use of planning conditions or Section 106 Agreements;
- iii. All applications and determinations must be accompanied by information on the level of emissions likely to be generated by the installation and the level of emissions must fall within the ICNIRP (International Commission on Non-Ionising Radiation Protection) guidelines and the advice contained in PPG8 in relation to emissions near college, school, nursery or pre-school playgroup grounds and buildings;
- iv. Clear public exclusion zones should be placed around all base station antennae together with appropriate warning signs;
- v. All applications and determinations received for mobile phone masts, base stations and transmitters proposed near college, school, nursery or pre-school playgroup locations must include details of consultation in line with PPG8 or its successor.

For all telecommunications development, including mobile phone installations, domestic satellite equipment and radio masts:

- vi. The development must not harm the appearance of the street scene nor appear visually intrusive;
- vii. The development must not harm the character of a Conservation Area nor the character and setting of a Listed Building;
- viii. If erected on a building, it must not be out of keeping with the building, in terms of siting, scale, size, profile and colour, so as to harm the appearance of the building;
- ix. If proposed in areas designated for their landscape, historic or nature conservation importance, including Conservation Areas and the Green Belt, applicants must be able to demonstrate why sites outside these areas cannot be used.

The proposed works on this site would not be to the detriment of the surrounding area, would not result in demonstrable harm to the character of the area, but are necessary to ensure improved delivery of service, and would respect and continue to maintain the appearance of the area, and would be suitably distant from potentially sensitive users, so according with the principles of the policies. It fully accords with the requirements of the NPPF

Enclosed map showing the cell centre and adjoining cells:

This can be emailed to the LPA on request.

Type of Structure

Description:

Description: It is imperative to consider from a planning perspective that this is purely an upgrade to existing installation – The structure already exists.

Existing 3No. AOC4518R8v06 antennas to be raised on new cranked antenna support poles for ICNIRP compliance.

Overall Height: 27.60m AGL

Height of existing building

23.93m AGL

| | |
|---|--------------|
| Equipment Housing: | |
| Length: | See drawings |
| Width: | See drawings |
| Height: | See drawings |
| Materials | |
| Tower/mast etc – type of material and external colour: | See drawings |
| Equipment housing – type of material and external colour: | See drawings |

| |
|--|
| Reasons for choice of design: |
| <p>The sharing of base stations between multiple operators is one of the key strategic policy principles contained within the NPPF. EE Ltd is the new operating company which used to be T Mobile and Orange.</p> <p>In keeping with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). guidelines of using “high quality communications infrastructure”, the proposed design has been selected to minimise visual impact upon the street scene.</p> |

4. Technical Information

| | | |
|---|-----|--|
| ICNIRP Declaration attached | Yes | |
| <p>ICNIRP public compliance is determined by mathematical calculation and implemented by careful location of antennas, access restrictions and/or barriers and signage as necessary. Members of the public cannot unknowingly enter areas close to the antennas where exposure may exceed the relevant guidelines.</p> <p>When determining compliance the emissions from all mobile phone network operators on the site are taken into account.</p> | | |

5. Technical Justification

| |
|--|
| Reason(s) why site required |
| <p>The National Planning Policy Framework clearly states that authorities should not question the need for the service, nor seek to prevent competition between operators. Notwithstanding this fact, the Applicant considers it to be important to explain the technical justification for the site and how the facility fits into the overall network.</p> <p>The site is required to provide enhanced coverage for EE Ltd, ESN and H3G LTE.</p> |

6. Site Selection Process – alternative sites considered and not chosen

Discounted Options

In accordance with the sequential approach outlined in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) following search criteria have been utilised. Firstly, consideration is always given to sharing any existing telecommunication structures in the area, secondly consideration is then given to utilising any suitable existing structures or buildings and thirdly sites for freestanding ground-based installations are investigated.

This sequential approach is outlined below:

- a) Mast and Site Sharing
- b) Existing Buildings Structures
- c) Ground Bases Installations

In compliance with its licence and the sequential approach outlined in the NPPF all attempts to utilise any existing telecommunication structures where they represent the optimum environmental solution have been employed. The Ofcom Site Finder mast register is always examined prior to the submission of an application.

If no alternative site options have been investigated, please explain why:

This is an upgrade to existing sites thus no other standalone new facilities have been investigated. In line with the sequential site selection process this proposal is to upgrade an existing site and not a new additional mast. A new additional mast to facilitate the upgrade would not be in line with NPPF, as stated by upgrading the current facility the most sequentially preferable option has been progressed.

The current siting was agreed by the LPA as the most appropriate location when the original installation was approved by the Council. Discounted options were supplied with the original planning submission and thus the principle of the siting is already established.

7. Additional Relevant Information

Background to the Proposal

Mobile phone base stations operate on a low power and accordingly base stations therefore need to be located in the areas they are required to serve. Increasingly, people are also using their mobiles in their homes and this means we need to position base stations in, or close to, residential areas.

A further limiting factor is that the position has to be one that fits in with the existing network. Sites have to form a patchwork of coverage cells with each cell overlapping to a limited degree with the surrounding base stations to provide continuous network cover as users move from one cell to the other. However, if this overlap is too great unacceptable interference is created between the two cells.

DEVELOPMENT PLAN POLICY.

Development plan considerations have a special significance in law. Section 54A of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (The Act), and re-iterated in Section 38 of the Planning and Compensation Act 2004, it is stated that:

“Where in making any determination under the Planning Acts regard is to be had to the Development Plan, determination shall be made in accordance with the Development Plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.”

NATIONAL PLANNING POLICY

The Government remain committed to promoting telecommunications and place emphasis on the importance of telecommunications to the wider economy. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF July 2018) sets out the Government’s planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied at the Local level. It provides a framework within which local people and their accountable Councils can produce their own distinctive local and neighbourhood plans, which reflect the needs and priorities of their communities.

The purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. There are three dimensions of sustainable development, each of which give rise to the need for the planning systems to perform a number of roles including: -

- Economic Role – contributing to building strong, responsive and competitive economy;
- Social Role – Supporting strong vibrant and healthy communities; and
- Environmental Role – Contributing to protecting and enhancing our natural, built and historic environment.

The NPPF contains at its core a presumption in favour of sustainable development which runs through both plan-making and decision-making processes. The NPPF recognises the vital importance of high-quality telecommunications and dedicates a whole chapter to this. Chapter 10 of the NPPF outlines the Governments support for high quality communications. The paragraphs below clearly outline the overarching support from Central Government for telecommunications and how Local Planning Authorities should embrace this vital infrastructure:

The NPF states:

“Advanced, high quality and reliable communications infrastructure is essential for economic growth and social well-being. Planning policies and decisions should support the expansion of electronic communications networks, including next generation mobile technology (such as 5G) and full fibre broadband connections. Policies should set out how high-quality digital infrastructure, providing access to services from a range of providers, is expected to be delivered and upgraded over time; and should prioritise full fibre connections to existing and new developments (as these connections will, in almost all cases, provide the optimum solution).”

It continues:

“The number of radio and electronic communications masts, and the sites for such installations, should be kept to a minimum consistent with the needs of consumers, the

efficient operation of the network and providing reasonable capacity for future expansion. Use of existing masts, buildings and other structures for new electronic communications capability (including wireless) should be encouraged. Where new sites are required (such as for new 5G networks, or for connected transport and smart city applications), equipment should be sympathetically designed and camouflaged where appropriate.”

Operators always follow the sequential site selection process. Where an existing site can be shared or upgraded this will always adhered to before a new proposal is put forward for consideration.

The support for telecoms and the need not to constrain Operators is laid out:

“Local planning authorities must determine applications on planning grounds only. They should not seek to prevent competition between different operators, question the need for an electronic communications system, or set health safeguards different from the International Commission guidelines for public exposure.”

Conclusion

We consider that the development is complaint with the council's policy and that in accordance with Section 38 (6) of the Planning and Compensation Act 2004 permission should be granted for the installation.

We consider the development complies with both central government and local planning policy guidance where the underlying aim is to provide an efficient and competitive telecommunication system for the benefit of the community while minimising visual impact.

Taking into account the factors of technical constraints, available sites and planning constraints we consider that this site and design clearly represents the optimum environmental solution.

On the basis of a recognised need to expand and promote telecommunications networks across the region, it is considered that the proposal fully accords with the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework and Local Plan Policies.

Ryan Marshall

Email: r.marshall@whptelecoms.com

Contact Details

| | | | |
|---------------|---|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: (Agent) | Ryan Marshall | Telephone: | |
| Operator: | EE Ltd & H3G | Fax no: | N/A |
| Address: | WHP Telecoms Ltd 1a Station Court Station Road Guiseley Leeds LS20 8EY | Email Address: | r.marshall@whptelecoms.com |
| Signed: | | Date: | 21 st October 2021 |
| Position: | Planning Manager | Company: | WHP |
| | | (on behalf of above operator) | |