WELWYN HATFIELD COUNCIL – DEVELOPMENT CONTROL DELEGATED REPORT

	S6/2010/2725/ED
APPLICATION No:	S6/2010/2735/FP

NOTATION:

The site lies within the Metropolitan Green Belt and the North Mymms Common and Newgate Street Farmed Plateau Landscape Character Area as designated in the Welwyn Hatfield District Plan 2005.

DESCRIPTION OF SITE:

The application site is located within a site that was the former Queenswood Home Farm. The application dwelling comprises a converted stock barn with detached garage. The application dwelling has been previously extended by way of a single storey rear extension, which has a floor area of roughly 63sqm and give the property and L-shape.

The surrounding properties within Lysley Place comprises large detached dwellings of a similar age and building material. To the west of Lysley Place is a residential institution which has recently been extended through an application that was accepted under a very special circumstances case.

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL:

The proposed development would involve an extension to the northern flank wall of the dwelling. The proposal would measure 7.74m in depth by 2.86m in width and would adjoin the main roof to create a gable end with a new chimney.

PLANNING HISTORY:

S6/2005/1233/FP – Erection of single storey side extension – Approved

S6/2002/0202/FP – Erection of timber gates with maximum height of 2.15m – Approved

S6/2000/1062/FP – Erection of single storey rear extension and alterations to front porch – Approved

S7/1997/0815/FP – Demolition of 3 No. dwelling houses and agricultural buildings, conversion of coach house and barns to 3 No. residential units, construction of 3 No. dwelling houses, and car parking – Approved

SUMMARY OF DEVELOPMENT PLAN POLICIES:

National Policy PPS1: Delivering sustainable development PPG2: Green Belts PPG13: Transport East of England Plan 2008 SS1: Achieving Sustainable Development ENV7: Quality in the Built Environment

Hertfordshire Structure Plan Review 1991 – 2011: None

Welwyn Hatfield District Plan 2005: SD1: Sustainable Development GBSP1: Definition of Green Belt R3: Energy Efficiency D1: Quality of design D2: Character and context D8: Landscaping RA10 Landscape character area RA3 Extensions to dwellings in the Green Belt Welwyn Hatfield District Plan, Supplementary Design Guidance, February 2005

CONSULTATIONS

NORTH MYMMS PARISH COUNCIL COMMENTS

No comments received.

REPRESENTATIONS

None. Period expired 10 December 2010.

DISCUSSION:

The main issues are:

- 1. The proposed development's impact upon the openness of the Metropolitan Green Belt and compliance with Green Belt Policy and the proposed development's impact upon the character and appearance of the locality
- 2. The proposed development's impact upon the residential amenity of the locality
- 3. Other Material Planning Considerations

1. PPG2 sets out Government policy on Metropolitan Green Belts. Paragraph 3.1 states that: -

"The general policies controlling development in the countryside apply with equal force in the Green Belt but there is, in addition, a general presumption against inappropriate development within them. Such development should not be approved, except in very special circumstances"

Paragraph 3.6 also states: -

"Provided that it does not result in disproportionate additions over and above the size of the original building, the extension or alteration of dwellings is not inappropriate in Green Belts."

Policy RA3 of the Welwyn Hatfield District Plan sets out the Council's policy with regard to extensions to dwellings in the Green Belt, and these policies are consistent with the advice contained within PPG 2.

The policies advise that extensions to dwellings located within the Green Belt will only be considered as 'appropriate' development when they do not individually or when considered with existing or approved extensions to the original dwelling have an adverse and disproportionate impact in terms of prominence, size, bulk and design on the character, appearance, pattern of development and visual amenity of the surrounding countryside.

Paragraph 3.6 of PPG2 emphasises that it is the 'original' building, which is important in assessing whether any proposal is disproportionate.

The application dwelling has been previously extended significantly, with an extension which has added roughly 63sq.m. The original dwelling had a floorspace of 116sq.m and therefore the property's floorspace has been increased by approximately 54% as existing. The proposed development would have a floor area of 22.1sq.m and the resultant dwelling would have a floorspace of 202.5sq.m. Therefore, the resultant increase in floorspace would equate to <u>74.6%</u> when compared to the original dwelling.

When comparing existing dwelling with the plans of the dwelling prior to the extensions approved in 2000, the previous property was a far more modest bungalow. Therefore, the cumulative impact of the existing and proposed extensions would result in a dwelling that would be disproportionate in size when compared to the original property.

The original modest 3 bedroom property has been extended to have much larger accommodation and the additional bulk and massing of the proposed extension would result in a far larger property. The cumulative extensions to the property would result in a disproportionate increase in the size of the dwelling, which cannot be described as limited extensions. The resultant dwelling would affect the openness and increase the urbanising impact upon rural character of the Green Belt.

Therefore, the proposal would fail to meet the requirements under Policy RA3 (i) of the Welwyn Hatfield District Plan 2005. Furthermore, the proposed development would be inappropriate when considered against the requirements of PPG2.

Policy RA3 also requires a visual assessment to be made to assess what impact the proposal would have upon the visual amenity of the locality.

The bulk, mass and prominence of the extensions is worsened by existing residential additions and paraphernalia that are within the application plot. Cumulatively the, existing large entrance porch, boundary wall and gates and other additions have an urbanising impact upon the rural character and appearance of the locality.

Although when viewed comparatively the resultant dwelling would have been extended substantially, the majority of these addition would be sited away from the frontage. These additions would affect the openness of the Green Belt and would result in a disproportionate dwelling. However, it is considered that the proposed extension would not be prominently visible from public view points and neighbouring properties, its impact is such that in visual amenity terms the proposed extension would comply with Criteria (ii) of Policy RA3 and paragraph 3.16 of PPG2.

When viewed individually the proposed extension's projection and floor area would be subordinate in scale and would attach well of the existing dwelling. Although, the proposed chimney and gable end would add significantly to the bulk and mass of the dwelling and this would be a large increase when compared to the original dwelling. From a design point of view the resultant dwelling would not be significantly more harmful than the existing dwelling. Due to the siting of the dwelling the proposed extensions would not be viewed prominently or appear dominant from the surrounding public areas and the resultant dwelling would not have a significantly greater impact upon the visual amenity of the area. Therefore, although the proposal would increase the bulk and massing of the property which conflicts with Policy RA3(i), the appearance and quality of design and appearance of the proposal would not conflict with the requirements of design Polices D1 and D2 of the Welwyn Hatfield District Plan 2005.

The application fails to comply with the requirements contained within Criteria (i) of local plan Policy RA3 (Extensions to Dwellings in the Green Belt) and the advice contained within PPG2.

The applicant verbally stated that in his opinion the property was built too small originally. However, the application dwelling was previously a stock barn which was considered to be suitable for a residential conversion. Although modest the previous building was considered to be an acceptable size for a dwelling and the current owner was the applicant at the time of the previous extensions. Therefore, although smaller than some of the neighbouring properties, the existing dwelling is not considered to be too small for appropriate living standards for a property of this size.

At the time of application dwelling being granted planning permission for a residential conversion permitted development rights were withdrawn. This restricted extensions, roof alterations, porches and outbuilding. The reason given was to enable the Local Planning Authority to retain control over the impact of any future development on the Green Belt.

No very special circumstances have been put forward and there do not appear to be any present that would outweigh the proposed developments harm and inappropriateness when considered against PPG2 and Policy RA3.

The proposal would not have an adverse impact upon the character and appearance of the wider landscape character area. Although the existing and proposed development has an urbanising impact upon the openness of the Green Belt, this would not significantly harm the wider views of landscape within the locality. The proposal would therefore not conflict with the requirements of Policy RA10 of the Welwyn Hatfield District Plan 2005.

2. The application dwelling and adjacent properties are detached and would retain a reasonable separation. Due to the application dwelling and proposal being single storey and sited to the side of the adjacent property, the impact of the additional bulk and mass of the dwelling would be offset. The resultant dwelling therefore would not have an adverse impact upon the residential amenity of the adjoining occupants.

3. **Sustainability and Energy Efficiency:** The application has been submitted with a sustainability checklist which notes cavity wall insulation, draught proofing and double glazing would be used. The checklist also notes that masonry would be recycled as hardcore and timber would be reused. Considering the proposed development would comprise an extension to an existing dwelling, these provisions would be reasonable to meet the requirements of Policies SD1 and R3 of the Welwyn Hatfield District Plan 2005.

Protected Species: The presence of protected species is a material consideration, in accordance with PPS9 (Biodiversity and Geological Conservation), Natural Environment & Rural Communities (NERC) Act 2006 (section 40), Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 as well as Circular 06/05. In the UK the requirements of the EU Habitats Directive is implemented by the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (the Conservation Regulations 2010). Where a European Protected Species ('EPS') might be affected by a development, it is necessary to have regard to Regulation 9(5) of the Conservation Regulations 2010, which states: *"a competent authority, in exercising any of their functions, must have regard to the requirements of the Habitats Directive so far as they may be affected by the exercise of those functions."* The Conservation Regulations 2010, (Regulation 41) contains the main offences for EPS animals, however the existing site and development is such that there is not a reasonable likelihood of EPS being present on site nor would a EPS offence be likely to occur. It is therefore not necessary to consider the Conservation Regulations 2010 further.

East of England Plan 2008: The application has been considered against policies in the East of England Plan, which at the time of this decision forms part of the development plan for the Borough.

On 10th November 2010, The High Court quashed the decision of the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government to unilaterally revoke Regional Spatial Strategies in England on two grounds:

- That he acted outside his statutory powers in circumventing the need for parliamentary scrutiny of such a fundamental change to the national planning system; and
- He failed to consider the likely environmental effects of revoking Regional Strategies

Whilst the Government is still committed to the abolition of Regional Spatial Strategies through the Localism Bill, which is expected to begin its passage through Parliament before Christmas, the policies in the East of England Plan are reestablished and form part of the development plan again and are therefore a material consideration which can be taken into account in reaching a decision.

CONCLUSION:

•

•

When considered cumulatively with existing extensions and proposed development would result in a dwelling that would be disproportionate in size. Generous extensions have already been added to the application dwelling and cumulatively the proposed further addition is not considered to be limited and is therefore inappropriate when considered against the requirements of PPG2. Although when compared to the existing building, the resultant dwelling would not have an adverse impact of the visual amenity of the Green Belt in terms of prominence, when compared to the original dwelling the resultant would be a far larger and more conspicuous dwelling. The proposed development therefore fails to meet the requirements of Policy RA3 of the Welwyn Hatfield District Plan 2005.

RECOMMENDATION: REFUSAL AND REASONS

1. The proposal by virtue of its size, bulk and mass, when considered cumulatively with existing extensions, would result in disproportionate additions over and above the size of the original dwelling and therefore represents inappropriate development within the Green Belt. The applicant has failed to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority any very special circumstances exist to outweigh the harm caused by reason of its inappropriateness. The proposal is therefore contrary to PPG2 and Policy RA3 of the Welwyn Hatfield District Plan 2005.

DRAWING NUMBERS:

Site Location Plan 1:1250 & PL-2000 and date stamped 10 November 2010.

Signature of author..... Date.....