






























Reference G = Group H = Hedge	Age & Species	Height (m)	Crown Ht (m)	Diameter (cm)	Crown Spread (m)		Scaled Tree Diagram (m)	Notes	Recommendations (Independent of any development proposals)		Vigour		Amenity Value	
					W	E			Priority	Inspect Freq (yrs)	Physiological Condition		Life Expectancy (yrs)	
											Structural Condition	Retention Category		
T1	Early-Mature <b>Pissards Plum</b> <i>Prunus cerasifera</i> 'pissardii'.	5	2	43	4.5	4.5	2.5 5		Form: Multi-stemmed at 1.5m with an unbalanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>Significant decay and emerging ganoderma decay brackets to base significant included bark at 1.5m.</b> Other: Acceptable condition at present due to location.	No action required.	1.5	Moderate Fair Poor	Low <10 C	
														n/a
T2	Semi-Mature <b>Apple</b> <i>Malus</i> sp.	3.5	1	19	2	3	2 3		Form: Twin-stemmed at 0.5m with a balanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b> Other: Recorded stem diameter is equivalent for 2 stems (16cm, 10cm).	No action required.	3	Moderate Good Good	Low 20-40 C	
														n/a
T3	Semi-Mature <b>Magnolia</b> <i>Magnolia</i> sp.	3.5	0.5	20	4	4	4 4		Form: Multi-stemmed at ground level with a balanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b>	No action required.	3	Moderate Good Fair	Low 10-20 C	
														n/a
T4	Mature <b>Ash</b> <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> .	15	7	90	6	6	6 6		Position: Situated on third party land. Form: Single stemmed and vertical with a balanced crown. History: Multiple pruning wounds due to crown reduction. Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b> Other: Limited inspection, dimensions estimated.	No action required.	3	Moderate Good Fair	High 40+ A	
														n/a
G5	Semi-Mature <b>Beech</b> <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> .	av 7.5	av 1.5	av 30	6	3	av 3 4 each		Form: Two close growing specimens. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>Symptoms of beech bark disease (bark lesions, die back and exudates) both trees have significant decay columns at 1m within stems.</b>	Remove or reduce height to 4m.	1	Low Poor Fair	Moderate 10-20 C	
														Moderate
T6	Young <b>Beech</b> <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> .	4	1.5	14	0.5	3	1 1		Form: Single stemmed with a slight lean and an unbalanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b>	No action required.	3	High Good Good	Low 40+ C	
														n/a
T7	Early-Mature <b>Beech</b> <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> .	12	5	50 @ Base	5	5	3 5		Form: Twin-stemmed at 1.5m with a slightly unbalanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>Symptoms of beech bark disease (bark lesions, die back and exudates). Major die back throughout.</b> Other:	Remove.	N/A	Low <b>Very Poor</b> Poor	Low <10 U	
														High

Reference G = Group H = Hedge	Age & Species	Height (m)	Crown Ht (m)	Diameter (cm)	Crown Spread (m) N W S E	Scaled Tree Diagram (m) 	Notes	Recommendations (Independent of any development proposals)		Vigour		Amenity Value	
								Priority	Inspect Freq (yrs)	Physiological Condition		Life Expectancy (yrs)	
										Structural Condition		Retention Category	
G8	Semi-Mature <b>Beech</b> <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> .	av 12	av 2	av 35	6 5 3 each		Form: Two close growing specimens. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>Minor die back to upper canopy.</b>	Monitor.	1	Low	Poor	Low	20-40
										Fair	C		
T9	Semi-Mature <b>Sycamore</b> <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i> .	5	2	21	6 3 3		Form: Single stemmed and leaning with an unbalanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b>	No action required.	3	High	Good	Low	20-40
										Good	C		
T10	Semi-Mature <b>Sycamore</b> <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i> .	6	4	20	0.5 0.5 5		Position: Situated on third party land. Form: Single stemmed and leaning with an unbalanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b> Other: Limited inspection, dimensions estimated.	No action required.	3	High	Good	Low	20-40
										Fair	C		
T11	Early-Mature <b>Lime</b> <i>Tilia sp.</i>	15	4	60	6 6 6		Form: Single stemmed and vertical with a balanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b> Other: Ivy prevented detailed inspection.	Remove ivy and inspect stem for defects.	1	Moderate	Good	Moderate	40+
										Fair	A		
T12	Early-Mature <b>Pear</b> <i>Pyrus sp.</i>	3	1.5	40 @ Base	5.5 3 2		Form: Twin-stemmed at 1m with a balanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b> Other: Smothered in ivy.	No action required.	3	Low	Poor	Low	10-20
										Fair	C -		
G13	Semi-Mature <b>Holly</b> <i>Ilex aquifolium</i> .	av 5	av 1	av 20	4 2.5 2.5 each		Form: Two single stemmed specimens. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b>	No action required.	3	High	Good	Low	40+
										Good	C		
T14	Early-Mature <b>Purple Beech</b> <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> 'purpurea'.	11	1.5	58	7.5 5 6		Form: Single stemmed with a slight lean and an unbalanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>Symptoms of beech bark disease (bark lesions and die back) significant signs of decay to base north and south.</b>	Monitor.	1	Low	Poor	Low	10-20
										Fair	C		

Reference G = Group H = Hedge	Age & Species	Height (m)	Crown Ht (m)	Diameter (cm)	Crown Spread (m)			Scaled Tree Diagram (m)	Notes	Recommendations (Independent of any development proposals)		Vigour		Amenity Value	
					W	N	E			Priority	Inspect Freq (yrs)	Physiological Condition		Life Expectancy (yrs)	
												Structural Condition		Retention Category	
T15	Mature <b>Oak</b>  Quercus robur.	17	1.5	123	7	6	8	7.5		Form: Single stemmed and vertical with a balanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>Significant to major deadwood throughout.</b> Other: Tree in decline.	Monitor deadwood monitor.	Low  Poor  Poor	Low	20-40	<b>B</b>
											Moderate				
T16	Early-Mature <b>Ash</b>  Fraxinus excelsior.	13	6	53	7.5	6	5	7		Form: Twin-stemmed at ground level with a balanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b> Other: Recorded stem diameter is equivalent for 2 stems (36cm, 39cm).	No action required.	Moderate  Good  Good	Low	40+	<b>B</b>
											n/a				
G17	Early-Mature <b>Lime</b>  Tilia sp.	av 20	av 5	av 65	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5		Form: Two multistemmed specimens. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b>	No action required.	Moderate  Good  Fair	Moderate	40+	<b>A</b>
											n/a				
T18	Semi-Mature <b>Sycamore</b>  Acer pseudoplatanus.	12	4	44	0.5	5	8	5		Form: Single stemmed and leaning with an unbalanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b>	No action required.	High  Good  Fair	Low	20-40	<b>B -</b>
											n/a				
T19	Semi-Mature <b>Lime</b>  Tilia sp.	10	2	27	4	4	4	4		Form: Single stemmed and vertical with a balanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b>	No action required.	High  Good  Good	Low	40+	<b>B</b>
											n/a				
G20	Semi-Mature <b>Cherry</b>  Prunus sp.	av 6	av 3	av 20	3	3	3	each		Form: Three close growing specimens. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b>	No action required.	Moderate  Good  Good	Low	20-40	<b>C</b>
											n/a				
T21	Semi-Mature <b>Sycamore</b>  Acer pseudoplatanus.	12	4	40	2	3	5	3		Form: Single stemmed with a slight lean and an unbalanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b>	No action required.	High  Good  Good	Low	20-40	<b>C</b>
											n/a				

Reference G = Group H = Hedge	Age & Species	Height (m)	Crown Ht (m)	Diameter (cm)	Crown Spread (m) N W E S	Scaled Tree Diagram (m) 	Notes	Recommendations (Independent of any development proposals)		Vigour		Amenity Value	
								Priority	Inspect Freq (yrs)	Physiological Condition		Life Expectancy (yrs)	
										Structural Condition		Retention Category	
T22	Mature <b>Ash</b> Fraxinus excelsior.	16	4	94	10 7 10		Form: Multi-stemmed at 3m with a balanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b>	No action required.	Moderate Good Good	Moderate 40+	<b>A</b>	n/a	3
T23	Early-Mature <b>Sycamore</b> Acer pseudoplatanus.	12	4	63	6 6 6		Form: Single stemmed and vertical with a balanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b>	No action required.	High Good Good	Low 40+	<b>B</b>	n/a	3
T24	Semi-Mature <b>Sycamore</b> Acer pseudoplatanus.	5	1.5	28	4.5 4 3		Form: Multi-stemmed at ground level with an unbalanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b> Other: Recorded stem diameter is equivalent for 3 stems (21cm, 12cm, 14cm).	No action required.	High Good Good	Low 40+	<b>C</b>	n/a	3
T25	Early-Mature <b>Apple</b> Malus sp.	2.5	1.5	30 @ Base	5 4 3		Form: Multi-stemmed at 0.5m with an unbalanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b>	No action required.	Moderate Fair Fair	Low 10-20	<b>C</b>	n/a	3
T26	Semi-Mature <b>Sycamore</b> Acer pseudoplatanus.	10	5	28	2 2.5 3.5		Form: Single stemmed and vertical with an unbalanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b>	No action required.	High Good Good	Low 40+	<b>C +</b>	n/a	3
T27	Early-Mature <b>Oak</b> Quercus robur.	12	1	57	7 5 7.5		Form: Single stemmed with a slight lean and a slightly unbalanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b>	No action required.	Moderate Good Good	Low 40+	<b>B</b>	n/a	3
T28	Semi-Mature <b>Sycamore</b> Acer pseudoplatanus.	12	2	43	6 3 7.5		Form: Twin-stemmed at 3m with an unbalanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b>	No action required.	High Good Good	Low 40+	<b>B</b>	n/a	3

Reference G = Group H = Hedge	Age & Species	Height (m)	Crown Ht (m)	Diameter (cm)	Crown Spread (m) N W E S	Scaled Tree Diagram (m)	Notes	Recommendations (Independent of any development proposals)		Vigour	Amenity Value
								Priority	Inspect Freq (yrs)	Physiological Condition	Life Expectancy (yrs)
										Structural Condition	Retention Category
G29	Sycamore Acer pseudoplatanus.	av 12	av 2	av 45	6 3 7.5 each		Form: Four close growing specimens. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b>	No action required.	3	High	Moderate
								n/a		Good	40+
T30	Oak Quercus robur.	10	4	64	5 8 5		Form: Single stemmed and vertical with a balanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b> Other: Ivy prevented detailed inspection.	Remove ivy and inspect stem for defects.	3	Moderate	Low
								Low		Fair	20-40
G31	Sycamore Acer pseudoplatanus.	av 10	av 2	av 25	2 4 each		Form: Two close growing specimens. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b>	No action required.	3	High	Low
								n/a		Good	40+