

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 09 September 2013 07:07
To: Planning
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: number 4 wilkins green lane objections
Attachments: 4 Wilkins Green Lane development.docx

Dear Mr Peacock
Please find attached our letter of concerns ref 4 Wilkins Green Lane development plans

Very best wishes
Yours sincerely
Anna Sibtain

5 Wilkins green Lane
Hatfield
AL10 9RT

09 Sep 2013

5 Wilkins Green Lane
Hatfield
Herts
AL10 9RT

9 September, 2013

Dear Sirs

Planning reference **S6/2013/1469/FP**

We are writing in relation to the above planning application. All concerns we raised to the earlier application in February still stand:

1. **Size:** The covering letter refers to an approval in 2007 (S6/2007/1947/FP). That was a much smaller scale extension, tacked on the middle of the building. The current proposal is for a much larger extension than proposed in 2007 and looks to be a similar footprint to the application rejected earlier this year. This would still significantly overdevelop the site.
2. **Privacy:** This application again has side windows of clear glass. The 2007 application did not have additional side windows. The application repeats from the letter earlier this year that *"the building will not have a detrimental impact on the neighbours as windows will be positioned the same as the existing elevation"*. This does not make sense- the application proposes additional clear windows beyond the current building lines looking into the gardens of adjoining properties. It also states that *"the elevation to number 6 is shielded by a high conifer hedge"* but the site does not adjoin number 6. At the very least we would ask that a standard condition should be imposed on side windows that they *"shall be glazed with obscured glass and shall be fixed so as to be incapable of being opened below a height of 1.8 metres above floor level, and shall be retained in that form thereafter."*
3. **Character:** The new proposal is to build on a significant part of the garden of the house at the back and to change the landscaping at the front into a car park for 16 vehicles. This is unacceptable and completely out of character with the surrounding properties. Also this extra flow of traffic on such small lane poses a risk to the community

Yours sincerely,

Anna and Amen Sibtain