

Ack

JP

[REDACTED]

From: Tracy Harvey
Sent: 29 January 2012 17:30
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Planning Application S6/2011/2743/FP - 3 Hook lane, EN6 4DA

Importance: High

Categories: Printed by Planning Support

please can ack go to Cllr Couch

From: Colin Couch
Sent: 29 January 2012 17:01
To: Tracy Harvey
Subject: FW: Planning Application S6/2011/2743/FP - 3 Hook lane, EN6 4DA

Tracy,

For information is Lisa's absence, please.

Colin

From: Colin Couch
Sent: 29 January 2012 16:52
To: Lisa Hughes
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Planning Application S6/2011/2743/FP - 3 Hook lane, EN6 4DA

Lisa,

On behalf of Mrs Moseley and for the reasons shown below, on her behalf, I would like to call this planning application in to be heard by the Planning Committee.

Mrs Moseley or her architect understand that they can speak for 3 minutes only. Could you please let me or Mrs Moseley know of the date the application will be heard, please.

Colin.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 28 January 2012 23:10
To: Colin Couch
Cc: martin@tcpltd.net
Subject: RE: Planning Application S6/2011/2743/FP - 3 Hook lane, EN6 4DA

Councillor Colin Couch,

Further to my telephone conversation requesting consideration to "calling in" my planning application to Committee, I list my reasons for this below;


1. I have original plans dated December 1998 which shows that originally a Timber Shed existed where a Breakfast Room was built in its place over 22 years ago, it states on these plans that "New Foundations to underpin existing as required by LA Surveyor". This has been taken in consideration by the planning officers as to floor space being already extended when calculating the foot print of the original building. I believe there was always a semi-permanent structure in existence which was adapted to be a liveable space and which had existing foundations in place.

2. The other extentions were part of original plans which were approved in 1990, over 22 years ago.
3. The request for this planning approval is to **in fill** a piece of land which is between an extended lounge and breakfast room which will square off the space. To in fill this gap would no longer be a danger to my children and family and would make the area much safer. It is a 4ft piece of land which is currently a wasted piece of space/gap.
3. The total amount of development only increases the footprint of the original building by 51% if you include the conversion from the timber shed to the breakfast room 22 years ago as above. If you do not include it then it is below that amount.
4. Its a rear development that doesn't affect either neighbour.
5. Its consistent with the design of the original building.
6. It will improve the building and provide my son with a bedroom which at present is only just big enough to fit a Junior Single bed 2ft.

The statutory period on this planning application ends on 7th February 2012. It is currently beng dealt with by Miss Phillipps who has indicated that it will be refused on the grounds that it is disproportionate. I feel that due to the reasons above, in this case a different view can be taken as this is slightly more unique due to the way the house is designed compared to others in the lane and my reasons above.

I am happy for you to visit and take a look at my proposals and thank you for any assistance you can offer in this matter. I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Many Thanks
Regards.

Sandra Moseley


Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.