DM

MURDOCH ASSOCIATES

CHARTERED TOWN PLANNERS

16 South Road, Bishop's Stortford, Hertfordshire_CM73 3.IH

Tel: 01279 503616 Mobile: 07850 327678 Fax: 01279 503616 Er

Planning Development Control.
Welwyn Hatfield Borough Council.
The Campus,
Welwyn Garden City,
Herts.
AL8 6AE.
2nd September 2011.

Our ref 3775/AP4

Fao Laura White.

Dear Madam,

TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990.
PULHAM HOUSE, BEDWELL PARK, CUCUMBER LANE, ESSENDON, HERTS AL9 6GJ.

Following your recent visit to this property and in accordance with your suggestion, I enclose on behalf of my client, Mr Kieran Griffin, an application relating to the retention of the shed at the site as originally constructed. This application comprises the following documents:-

- 1. Householder application forms together with Certificate A under Article 7 of the Town and Country General Development Procedure Order 1995.
- 2. This covering letter.
- 3. GML drawings 3338-01C and 03C.
- 4. Design and Access Statement.
- 5. Sustainability checklist.
- 6. Biodiversity checklist.
- 7. Planning application fee of £150.

While the Council have granted planning permission for a reduced sized shed, this existing and still modest building is needed to accommodate the appellant's equipment as identified in the DAS. At your site visit you were able to see that it was full with all the equipment that is identified in the Design and Access Statement. You were also able to see the discrete location of the shed.

The approved reduction will clearly result in my client having additional expense but more importantly will also result in him having nowhere to store some of this essential maintenance equipment under cover. The result is that he will either have to store it outside or that he will not be able to maintain his grounds properly. Either of these could result in the appearance of this part of the Green Belt becoming more unsightly while the former will result in the equipment deteriorating and having to be replaced more quickly, which is hardly a sustainable scenario.

Given that the existing shed building is of a satisfactory size and design and is discreetly located, you felt that it would be possible to review the case. As a result you

PENNING DEPENDENT NEW 1 - 5 SEP 2011

1117 (111 13 IS

suggested that a further retrospective application be submitted. This is now done and I hope that you will be able to support the application.

While I believe that you have sufficient information to determine the application please do not hesitate to contact me if you wish to discuss the above suggestion or have any other questions.

Graham Murdoch.