

Ack.

200/932

obj.

JP

[Redacted]

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** 08 June 2010 08:18  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** Re Case 932 - Attn Miss J Phillips  
**Attachments:** 42DCPlanning.doc

08 JUN 2010  
- 8 JUN 2010

Dear Miss Phillips,  
Please find attached our letter in respect of Case 932.  
We would be grateful if you could confirm receipt of this email and contents.

Regards

[Redacted]

Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.

38 Daffodil Close  
Hatfield Garden Village  
Hertfordshire  
AL10 9FF

6<sup>th</sup> June 2010

Miss J Phillips  
Case Officer – Planning Department  
Welwyn Hatfield Borough Council  
The Campus  
Welwyn Garden City  
Herts  
AL8 6AE

Dear Miss Phillips,

**Erection of first floor side extension, single storey rear extension with conservatory and formation of front door canopy at 42 Daffodil Close HATFIELD – Case 932**

Thank you for your letter dated 19<sup>th</sup> May 2010, informing us of the above planning application and giving us the opportunity to comment to assist in your consideration in respect of approval.

We are opposed to all three elements of the planning applications on the following grounds:

- The extensions proposed are not in keeping with the original design of this estate, nor is it similar to any application that has been passed in previous years. Examples of these are No's 28,48,50,56,13 and 46 Daffodil Close and No's 10,11,14,42,46,48,56 and 58 Bluebell Way and including our own.
- The enlargement of the house within the plot will be an over development, especially when compared with those detailed above.

In respect of the rear extension:

- This extension to the property is extremely and unnecessarily high, especially the conservatory element, and large in size which will be exceptionally visible from both our lounge and main bedroom windows.
- As the extension is south facing we anticipate that our house will receive unwanted glare or reflection from the mass of angled glass.
- We feel that having such an out of keeping view, indeed blocking view of the countryside from our main bedroom window and sight of the glass structure will detract future house purchasers, not only for us but others

In respect of the side extension:

- This will provide a very unsightly view for our garden, having in effect a double storey brick wall bordering a large percentage of our garden. We feel that the

addition of the window will provide a direct view over our entire garden, which is not possible elsewhere in the surrounding houses and intrudes on our privacy.

- We were led to believe when purchasing our house that the development was not permitted to have existing garages developed over them, which was fundamental in the selection of our plot.
- The loss of natural light in the morning will be very noticeable
- This extension is for the purpose of 'a playroom'. We are concerned that this can easily be utilised as a bedroom given its size and question why a temporary or permanent solution such as converting garage space has not been considered as in other homes on the development
- The Dutch gable design at the front of the house and non-use of dormer windows really detracts from the design of the original development
- We feel again that such an imposing extension has the ability to deter future purchasers of our home

It is disappointing that the applicant has not consulted us on this application, given the impact it will have on our home and that access would be required from our property.

We welcome any assistance we can give you in assessing this application, including further site visits.

Yours sincerely,

