

MP

✓
Maureen Graves

From: Roger Evans
Sent: 22 February 2010 17:11
To: Mark Peacock
Subject: 10 Parkhouse
Attachments: parkhouse memo.doc

PLANNING
DEPARTMENT
23 FEB 2010
RECEIVED

Hi Mark – information attached get back to me tomorrow if you need anything else

Roger

Memo

Date: 22-2-2010

To: Mark Peacock

Cc:

From: Roger Evans

Subject: 10 Parkhouse Court

I have looked at the additional information provided by the applicant and visited the site: my comment are as follows:-

- The ventilation system outlined will provide the necessary number of air changes per hour and the odour control elements of the extract side of the system will minimise the risk of nuisance.
- There is no indication on the plan of ventilation to the wc and lobby. It is not satisfactory for these rooms to vent into the restaurant or kitchen as the plan would suggest will happen. This needs to be conditioned.
- There is some information about maintenance in respect of the electrostatic filters, but little else. I understand that it may not be possible to condition this, if that's the case can an informative be attached – A maintenance schedule in respect of ducting and plant in the mechanical inlet and extract systems will be necessary and will be referred to during food safety and/or health and safety inspections
- There is limited information about noise, but the extract system does incorporate a silencer after the fan. This combined with the large area of the exit grill, which reduces the velocity of the extracted air, will provide satisfactory sound reduction in respect of airborne noise, to minimise risk of nuisance.
- The question of structure-borne noise is not addressed, but can be limited by proper isolation of plant and ducting from the structure. This will need to be conditioned

I hope the above is satisfactory for you; if you need further information or clarification please contact me tomorrow.

Roger Evans
Chartered Environmental Health Practitioner